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“ON-LINE” SENTENCE PROCESSING

• Lifespan approach 5 years through old age
  - Extensions to clinical populations
    • Children with focal brain injury
    • Children with LI
    • Adults with aphasia
  - Simulations of language disorders in normal children and adults under stress
ON-LINE” SENTENCE PROCESSING: TWO TASKS

- Sentence Interpretation
  - “Mugshot” picture choice technique
  - “Push the button under the one who did it”
  - Works from 5 years of age and up

- Grammaticality Judgment
  - “Silly/bad” vs. “Happy/good” faces
  - Button press indicates judgments
  - Works from 5 years of age and up
• Sentence Interpretation

• **Grammaticality Judgment**


LESSONS FROM “ON-LINE” SENTENCE PROCESSING: 5 Years & UP

• Probabilistic Behavior
  • Above-chance performance suggests that ‘knowledge is there’
  • Developmental and pathological differences in processing efficiency

• “Knowledge” vs. “Processing”?
  • Distributed neural networks
  • Knowledge units = processing units
  • “Shaky representations”
LESSONS FROM “ON-LINE” SENTENCE PROCESSING: 5 Years & UP

• Speed/Accuracy trade-offs
  - “Good Speed”
    • Increases over development
    • Correlates positively with other indices
  - “Bad Speed”
    • Decreases over development
    • Correlates negatively with other indices
- RT Plateaus & Task Consolidation
“ON-LINE” LEXICAL PROCESSING ACROSS THE LIFESPAN

• Ecologically valid tasks
  - Auditory language only
    • No reading component
  - No metalinguistic judgments
  - Familiar response modalities
    • Picture Naming (3 years and up)
    • Repetition/Imitation (3 years and up)
    • Preferential looking (12 months and up)
“ON-LINE” LEXICAL PROCESSING

• Studies of lexical processing in a sentence context
  - Lifespan approach 3-100 years
  - Extensions to clinical populations
  - Simulations of language disorders in normals under stress

• Infant studies of lexical processing
  - Preferential looking
  - Normal vs. “stressed” perceptual conditions
LIFESPAN STUDIES OF LEXICAL PROCESSING IN SENTENCE CONTEXTS

• Cued shadowing (CS)
  - 7-81 years
  - Repeat target word signaled by a voice shift
• Picture naming (PN)
  - 3-100 years
  - Name picture following sentence context
• Within-Subjects comparison of CS & PN
  - 3-8 year controls
  - 7-8 year old focal lesion & SLI
CUED SHADOWING: AUDITORY TARGET WORD REPETITION

• “You are going to hear a lady talking. But sometimes a man will talk. Your job is to say what the man says, as fast as you can without making a mistake...”
• LADY: “When I am tired, I put on my...”
• MAN:
  - PAJAMAS (facilitative)
  - CAKE (inhibitory)
• LADY: “Now please say...”
• MAN:
  - PAJAMAS (neutral)
  - CAKE (neutral)
INHIBITORY AND FACILITATIVE EFFECTS OF SENTENCE CONTEXT ON CUED SHADOWING (AUDITORY WORD REPEITION) IN 7-12 YEAR OLD CHILDREN, YOUNG AND ELDERLY ADULTS
PICTURE NAMING IN CONTEXT

Facilitation vs. Inhibition in Sentence Priming of Picture Naming across the Lifespan (3 - 100 yrs)
PICTURE NAMING & CUED SHADOWING COMPARED

Klarman, L., Roe, K., Zangl, L. & Bates, E.
(in preparation)
On-line studies of word recognition and word production in a sentence context.
Sentence Priming of Picture Naming vs. Cued Shadowing from 3 - 8 Years of Age
CUED SHADOWING & PICTURE NAMING IN DEVELOPMENTAL IMPAIRMENTS

Context effects on lexical processing in children with language impairment and children with early focal brain injury
SENTENCE PRIMING OF PICTURE NAMING IN CONTROLS VS. CHILDREN WITH FOCAL BRAIN INJURY AND CHILDREN WITH LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENT

- Temporal compression of sentence contexts leads to **reduced inhibition** of word recognition in incongruent sentences
- Low-pass filtering of sentence contexts leads to **reduced facilitation** of word recognition in congruent sentences
- Roe et al findings for children with LI resemble normal adults under low-pass filtering
CONTRIBUTIONS OF WORKING MEMORY TO ON-LINE WORD & SENTENCE PROCESSING

Roe, Katherine (2002)
Working memory and language development in early childhood. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, San Diego
Zangl et al.: Preferential Looking

- 95 infants (12-31 months)
- CDI expressive vocabulary for all cases
- 24 target words (48 trials)
  - Each auditory word presented in unaltered form and in one altered condition
  - Three perceptual conditions
    - Perceptually unaltered (24 words)
    - Temporally compressed (50%) (12 words)
    - Low-pass filtered (1.5 Hz) (12 words)
  - Counterbalanced lists, side of presentation
Zangl et al.: Summary of Results I

• Accuracy & speed of target looks
  - Improve significantly from 12-31 months
  - Vocabulary (CDI) a better predictor than age

• Temporal compression
  - only affects children in the lowest performance range

• Low-pass filtering
  - Decreases performance at all levels
  - Above-chance looking only for children at the highest vocabulary levels
• Word comprehension and production are tightly yoked from 12-31 months when comprehension is assessed out of context

• Some RT measures show non-monotonic effects of age and/or vocabulary
  - Good vs. Bad RT
  - Consolidation

• Filtering > Compression as a model of endogenous developmental delays
ON-LINE STUDIES OF WORD & SENTENCE PROCESSING: TAKE-AWAY MESSAGES

• Reaction time studies yield new insights
  - Normal language development
  - Atypical language development
• Information-processing deficits can be simulated in normal children & adults
• Good RT vs. Bad RT
  - Speed/accuracy trade-off as a developmental phenomenon