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#### Abstract

Visual complexity is an important variable for studies working with picture stimuli, including picture naming. Traditionally, subjective ratings by $20-30$ subjects have been used for this purpose, an approach that may be influenced by perceptual and cognitive variables (e.g., familiarity with the object) that are not directly related to visual complexity. The present study offers an objective and easy way of measuring visual complexity by taking the file size of picture stimuli material (black-and-white, simple line drawings) as the basis. Over 30 different file types and degrees of compression were compared for 520 object pictures, and analyzed to determine whether these measures differ in their influence on picture-naming behavior. Results suggest that PDF, TIFF and JPG formats may provide valid indices of objective visual complexity. The effect of these objective measures on picture naming were compared with published subjective visual complexity data from an English and a Hungarian study on overlapping items. Comparative analysis with other picture-naming variables shows that these objective measures unlike subjective ratings - have no effect on RT, are unrelated to word frequency or age of acquisition, and show a more modest word length effect on the dominant response. However, they do affect picturenaming accuracy (production of the target name), an effect not reported in previous studies using subjective ratings of visual complexity. Subjective and objective complexity measures are both useful, and they are correlated, but they also differ in potentially important ways.


## Introduction

Timed picture naming has been used for many years as a tool for determining how easily a mental representation (e.g., an object name) can be retrieved from memory (e.g., Forster and Chambers, 1973; Humphreys, et al. 1988; Jescheniak and Levelt, 1994; Oldfield and Wingfield, 1965; Preston, 1935; Thorndike, 1931). Properties of both the picture and its associated name are known to have an influence on this process. One of the factors that could influence both accuracy and latency in picture naming is visual complexity. Visual processing is a necessary step in the naming of a line-drawn picture, including low-level processes that are (at least in principle) prior to and partially independent of higher processes like object or scene recognition, and retrieval of one
or more names for that object or scene. Does the sheer complexity of the visual display influence the first stages of decoding? And if so, does this complexity effect percolate through the system to influence the naming process? To answer these questions, it would be useful to have objective measures of visual complexity that are not influenced by the higher stages of object recognition and name retrieval. However, to date most studies of picture naming have relied on subjective (human) ratings of complexity that may reflect a mixture of 'bottom up effects' (characteristics of the visual display) and 'top down effects' (characteristics of the object and/or characteristics of the name that the picture evokes). The purpose of this paper is to introduce some simple measures of objective visual complexity that are derived automatically from digitized images, and
compare the effects of objective complexity with the effects of subjective complexity ratings on performance in a timed picture-naming task.

## Technical development of naming studies

Developing standardized sets of stimuli has been a major goal of cognitive research in the last decade. In 1980, Snodgrass and Vanderwart introduced a 260picture set standardized for the English language (Snodgrass and Vanderwart, 1980). They derived several dependent variables, including the dominant response (the name given by the largest number of participants) and the number and frequency of alternative names. Having determined the dominant response for each picture, they also calculated several independent variables based on these names, including their frequency, length, and subjective ratings of familiarity, age of acquisition and imageability. Pictures were also rated subjectively for their visual complexity (VC). Naming latencies were established later for this corpus (Snodgrass and Yuditsky, 1996). Most of the picture-naming studies of the 1990's have used this set with or without additional items (e.g., Barry et al., 1997; Cycowicz et al., 1997; Morrison et al., 1997; Morrison et al., 1992; Sanfeliu and Fernandez, 1996). By using the same normative stimulus material, more precise comparison of results and theoretical accounts became possible.

## Predictors of naming latency

A number of word and picture characteristics have been shown to have an influence on both accuracy and reaction time in picture-naming tasks. For example, since the first timed picture-naming studies, an inverse relationship between response times and written or spoken word frequencies has been shown (e.g., Forster and Chambers, 1973; Humphreys et al. 1988; Jescheniak and Levelt, 1994; Oldfield and Wingfield, 1965; Preston, 1935; Thorndike, 1931). However, the importance of frequency was challenged in the 1970's by studies suggesting that age of acquisition (adult subjects' estimates of the age at which the name was learned) is the critical factor in predicting naming latency (Barry et al., 1997; Carroll and White, 1973a,b; Gilhooly and Gilhooly, 1979; Morrison et al., 1992; Rochford and Williams, 1962), absorbing all of the variance usually attributed to word frequency. This discovery initiated a lively debate about the factors that are responsible for variations (over items) in naming latency.

When searching for the critical factors that influence retrieval of a picture name, it is of vital importance to eliminate confounding factors that may also have a
significant effect on accuracy and/or reaction time. One of these potential confounds is picture complexity, the focus of the present study. To explore the contribution of visual complexity to picture naming, Snodgrass and Vanderwart (1980) used subjective ratings, based on a 5-point scale from very simple to very complex. Most studies since that time have adopted their method (Cycowicz et al., 1997; Sanfeliu and Fernandez 1996) or their original ratings (Morrison et al., 1997; Snodgrass and Yuditsky, 1996). In the latter part of this paper we will present findings of subjective visual complexity from two of the above studies (Sanfeliu and Fernandez 1996; Snodgrass and Vanderwart, 1980), and compare these findings with the objective VC measure proposed here. Wang (1997) used both subjective and objective visual complexity measures for evaluation of perceptual and semantic characteristics of 132 pictures (partly redrawn from the Snodgrass and Vanderwart set) in a Chinese naming study. Objective visual complexity was based on the number of geons (as defined by Biederman, 1987) needed to compose the figure. Her findings indicate that objective and subjective visual complexity are closely related, at $r=$ +0.66 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.03$ ). Wang's result serves as a crossvalidation of these two methods for assessment of visual complexity. However, it also means that objective and subjective complexity measures share less than $44 \%$ of their variance, and might have differential effects on the naming process.

## Intercorrelations of subjective visual complexity, and other variables

## Reaction time

So far, most studies of picture naming in adults have reported no effects of rated visual complexity on naming latency (Barry et al., 1997; Snodgrass and Yuditsky, 1996). Cycowicz et al. (1997) did find a significant effect of picture complexity on naming times in a sample of children ( $\mathrm{r}=+0.27, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ). Note, however, that they also used the same subjects to obtain both complexity ratings and naming latencies, a decision that might have enhanced the correlation.

D'Amico, Devescovi and Bates (2000) used an objective estimate of visual complexity (based on the work we are about to outline here, for a subset of 230 pictures out of the full set of 520 object pictures), and examined its effect on both accuracy and latency in picture naming by Italian-speaking adults and by 5-6-year-olds. They report significant effects of visual complexity on naming latencies for both children and adults after a host of other factors were controlled (e.g., frequency, length, subjective and objective
measures of age of acquisition), indicating that reaction times were slower for more complex items.

Word length, frequency age of acquisition and familiarity

In studies of picture naming in English-speaking adults, subjective ratings of picture complexity are confounded with several other independent variables, including a positive correlation with length of the dominant name for the picture (Barry et al., 1997; Ellis et al., 1998; Morrison et al., 1997; Snodgrass and Yuditsky, 1996), especially when length is measured in syllables rather than orthographic characters. In addition, complexity ratings tend to be negatively correlated with frequency, and positively correlated with rated age of acquisition. However, these correlations did not replicate in naming studies with English-speaking children (Cycowicz et al., 1997) or with Spanish-speaking adults (Sanfeliu and Fernandez, 1996).

Subjective complexity is also related to subjective ratings of familiarity. Snodgrass and Vanderwart reported a significant negative correlation ( $\mathrm{r}=-$ 0.466 ) between complexity and familiarity ratings. Similar effects have been reported in other naming studies (Barry et al., 1997; Cycowicz et al., 1997; Ellis et al., 1998; Morrison et al., 1997; Sanfeliu and Fernandez 1996; Snodgrass and Yuditsky, 1996).

## Name agreement

In most picture-naming studies, the dominant name (or target name) is defined empirically, as the name given by the largest number of subjects in a given study. The ratio of subjects responding with the dominant name is referred to as "percent name agreement". Another traditional way of measuring name agreement is the H statistic, which takes into consideration the proportion of subjects producing each alternative. An increasing $H$ value indicates decreasing name agreement, where 0 refers to perfect name agreement.

According to Snodgrass and Vanderwart (1980), complex pictures tend to elicit more alternative names: Specifically, they found a small but significant positive correlation ( $\mathrm{r}=+.13$ ) between subjective ratings of visual complexity and name agreement (measured by the H statistic) based on their 260-picture set. Other studies have not replicated this result, reporting no significant correlation between percent name agreement and subjective visual (Barry et al., 1997; Ellis et al., 1998; Morrison et al., 1997; Sanfeliu and Fernandez 1996; Snodgrass and Yuditsky, 1996).

However, picture naming by English-speaking children replicated the 1980 finding for adults: Cycowicz et al. found visual complexity to be inversely correlated with percent name agreement ( $\mathrm{r}=$ $-0.242, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) and positively with H value ( $\mathrm{r}=$ $+.206, \mathrm{p}<0.01)$.
Snodgrass and Yuditsky introduced a new measure of name agreement, referred to as concept agreement (1996), to measure the degree to which subjects agreed on the meaning of the pictures. They computed the percentage of subjects giving the same name as the dominant name, or a synonym. They reported visual complexity to have a significant effect on percent concept agreement ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.17$ ), indicating again that complex pictures tend to be named with more alternatives, including words with a different meaning (nonsynonyms).

## Objective VC and other variables

Based on her naming study in Mandarin Chinese, Wang (1997) reported percent name agreement to be negatively correlated with objective VC measured in geons at $\mathrm{r}=-0.36(\mathrm{p}<0.01)$. This correlation is somewhat higher than the English child results for subjective complexity (Cycowicz et al.). According to Wang, objectively complex items tend to be less familiar than simpler ones, but the correlation of rated familiarity with objective complexity is modest ( $\mathrm{r}=$ $+0.11, \mathrm{p}<.22$ ), compared with reports by other investigators for familiarity and subjective complexity. Wang reports no significant relation of objective VC and naming accuracy.

## General coding and compression techniques of still images

We should not be surprised to find that subjective ratings of visual complexity are correlated with factors like age of acquisition, length and frequency of the associated target or dominant name. Indeed, it is quite possible that human raters are unable to suppress picture names (with all their associated characteristics) while they are employed in the task of evaluating picture complexity. For this reason, it would be useful to obtain an objective measure of visual complexity that is not contaminated by these characteristics. In pursuit of this goal, we have compared several different candidates from standard digital file formats for graphic material, applied to the scanned black-and-white drawings used in our own picture-naming study (including a large subset of the original Snodgrass materials).

Different file formats use a wide variety of coding techniques to capture still images, from vector-based coding (e.g., PDF) to methods that divide the image
into tiny blocks of color and luminance information (e.g., JPG). The most conventional method of digital representation of an image is in terms of a rectangular array of pixels, each representing the intensity of the image at a certain point (Watson, 1993). However, from a mathematical point of view, this array is merely a collection of numbers that may be transformed in various ways that preserve information. The selection of a particular representational scheme is partly based on the feature of efficiency in terms of the number of bits required to represent a particular image. The term "compression" is used for a procedure that results in a form that requires fewer bits. If the transformation is invertible, then the compression is said to be lossless. However, these techniques usually provide only modest amounts of compression. Further reduction of the size of the image files is made possible by the socalled "lossy" techniques, which are not invertible. They are particularly useful because the human eye is insensitive to certain elements of images, thus the loss of some specific image information can be tolerated. The general procedure of the lossy compression of images comprises several complex mathematical operations. These operations include color space conversion, as a first step, by which the image is converted to a color space with separate luminance and chrominance channels. Since the human eye is more sensitive to the luminance information, chrominance is compressed to a higher degree. Some further steps of file compression (in the case of JPEG technique, for example) include quantization, by which some information that is not of vital importance to the visual system is discarded (Woehrmann et al., 1994). Because these compression formats were designed with human vision in mind, they are potentially more powerful candidates for the assessment of visual complexity effects on naming that we might obtain with a simple pixel count. At the same time, they have an advantage
over the geon approach, because they can be computed automatically.

## Method

Picture-naming results and characteristics of the dominant picture names were taken for the full set of 520 object pictures used in the Center for Research in Language International Picture Norming Project (CRL-IPNP), described in a previous CRL Newsletter article (Bates et al., 2000). Digitized images for all 520 pictures were used to calculate the objective visual complexity scores, using image file size metrics (described in detail below). Reaction times, word length and name agreement data were obtained from the CRL-IPNP data base for two languages, English and Hungarian, based on results for 50 college-age subjects in each language. Statistical routines were administered with the use of MiniStat (Vargha and Czigler, 1999), applications described in Vargha (2000). Regression analysis was carried out with SPSS, version 8.0.

## Calculating objective visual complexity

The black-and-white simple line drawings were scanned and saved as ( $300 \times 300$ pixel) Macintosh PICT file format, each in a separate file. A demo version of the handmade software utility Image Alchemy 1.8 (Woehrmann et al., 1994) was used to convert the stimuli to various graphics file formats. Over 30 different file types and degrees of compression for the 520 object and 275 action pictures were computed, and 7 commonly used formats were selected according to their relation to subjective visual complexity and other variables. They are described in Table 1, specifying the type of compression, and exact syntax used in the conversion procedure.

TABLE 1
Image file formats suitable for measuring objective visual complexity

|  | File type description | Compression type | Syntax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ObjVCpdf | Adobe Portable Document (PDF) by Adobe Acrobat | LZW | --d 2 |
| ObjVCtiff | Tagged Interchange (universal raster image) File Format | LZW | -t |
| ObjVCjpg | Joint Photographic Experts Group (JPEG) (with default Huffman <br> coding, and high quality - low degree of compression) | High quality $=98$ (on a <br> scale from 1-100) | -j 98 |
| ObjVCgif | GIF files, by CompuServe (independent image file format) | Version: GIF87A | -g 0 |
| ObjVCwpg | WordPerfect Graphic file format | None | -W |
| ObjVCmac | MacPaint files - black-and white images | MacBinary | $--\mathrm{t0}$ |
| ObjVCpict | Macintosh PICT/PICT2 file format, by Apple Computer | None | $-\mathrm{m0}$ |

## Results

## Correlations among the objective complexity measures

We expected these measures to be highly intercorrelated, and this did indeed prove to be the case. Table 2 reports the intercorrelations among these seven indices of objective visual complexity
(henceforce OVC) (all results are significant at p < 0.01 ). For our purposes here, these measures are close to interchangeable. However, for purposes of comparison we will include several of the most familiar and widely used indices. Summary statistics for the objective visual complexity measures (Table 3) show that the highest quality JPG format allows the most variation of picture size (and the largest files in Kbytes) in this particular corpus.

TABLE 2
Intercorrelations between indices of objective visual complexity measures

|  | objVCpdf | objVCtiff | objVCjpg | ObjVCgif | objVCwpg | ObjVCmac | objVCpict |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| objVCpdf | 1 | 1.000 | 0.967 | 0.989 | 0.921 | 0.918 | 0.917 |
| objVCtiff |  | 1 | 0.969 | 0.989 | 0.924 | 0.921 | 0.921 |
| objVCjpg |  |  | 1 | 0.965 | 0.923 | 0.926 | 0.926 |
| objVCgif |  |  |  | 1 | 0.933 | 0.940 | 0.940 |
| objVCwpg |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.985 | 0.985 |
| objVCmac |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.000 |
| objVCpict |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

TABLE 3
Summary statistics of objective VC measures: file size in Kbytes

|  | N | Mean | STD | MIN | MAX |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| objVCpdf | 520 | 4009 | 1319 | 2007 | 12792 |
| objVCtiff | 520 | 2575 | 1019 | 1028 | 9300 |
| objVCjpg | 520 | 16736 | 8926 | 3730 | 62243 |
| objVCgif | 520 | 2282 | 1006 | 741 | 8285 |
| objVCwpg | 520 | 3872 | 1759 | 649 | 10386 |
| objVCmac | 520 | 5249 | 1562 | 2560 | 11392 |
| objVCpict | 520 | 4600 | 1561 | 1970 | 10703 |

## Complexity effects on independent variables

Table 4 presents the summary statistics for the most important independent variables of the study, for each language. All variables are characteristics of the dominant response, the most common name (given by the largest number of subjects) in each of the studies. Age of acquisition and goodness-of-depiction ratings were available only for English, and are based on subjective ratings. For goodness of depiction, subjects were asked to rate how well the picture fit its dominant name, on a scale from worst to best. Average ratings of 1-7 were calculated for each picture. Similarly subjects were asked to estimate the age at which they acquired each name on a 9-point scale (representing an age range from 2-13 and older). Transformed (logarithmic) frequency counts were taken from frequency dictionaries of written
language of English (Celex database) and Hungarian (where only 174 words were listed in the dictionary, therefore the values are much lower). Length is measured in syllables as well as in characters. Both dimensions indicate that the Hungarian words tend to be longer.

The correlation matrix of independent measures of the dominant response, and objective complexity of the pictures is outlined in Table 5. In English, there was a small but significant tendency for complex pictures to be described with longer names. However, the length-complexity correlation did not hold in Hungarian, suggesting that language-specific variations in word structure may play a role. Note also that OVC is unrelated to word frequency in either language, and unrelated to subjective ratings of age of acquisition in English. This is not true for subjective VC ratings, which (in studies by Snodgrass
and others) are significantly related to both frequency and age of acquisition (see below). There is a small negative correlation of objective VC with goodness of depiction: the more complex the picture, the better it is judged to represent the object. As we shall see in
more detail later, this relationship between objective VC and goodness of depiction does not hold for subjective complexity ratings taken from studies by other investigators.

TABLE 4
Summary statistics of the independent variables

|  | N | Mean | STD | MIN | MAX |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| US Freq | 520 | 2.50 | 1.57 | 0 | 7.40 |
| US Syll | 520 | 1.74 | 0.83 | 1 | 5 |
| US Char | 520 | 5.89 | 2.22 | 2 | 15 |
| US AOA | 520 | 2.26 | 1.29 | 2.93 | 10.09 |
| US good | 520 | 5.8 | 0.65 | 2.85 | 6.85 |


|  | N | Mean | STD | MIN | MAX |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HU Freq | 520 | 1.38 | 1.93 | 0 | 6.84 |
| HU Syll | 520 | 2.28 | 0.97 | 1 | 8 |
| HU Char | 520 | 6.07 | 2.28 | 2 | 19 |

TABLE 5
Objective VC and characteristics of the dominant response ( $N=520$ )
objVCpdf objVCtiff ObjVCjpg ObjVCgif objVCwpg ObjVCmac objVCpict

| US \& HU Frequency | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| US Age of acquisition | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| US Goodness-of depiction | $0.080 \sim$ | $0.082 \sim$ | $0.082 \sim$ | $0.081 \sim$ | $0.087^{*}$ | $0.091^{*}$ | $0.091^{*}$ |
| US Length in syllables | $0.118^{* *}$ | $0.118^{* *}$ | $0.124^{* *}$ | $0.115^{* *}$ | $0.101^{*}$ | $0.095^{*}$ | $0.097^{*}$ |
| HU Length in syllables | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| US Length in characters | $0.092^{*}$ | $0.092^{*}$ | $0.106^{*}$ | $0.089^{*}$ | $0.085 \sim$ | $0.080 \sim$ | $0.080 \sim$ |
| HU Length in characters | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |

$\sim=\mathrm{p}<0.1, *=\mathrm{p}<0.05, *^{*}=\mathrm{p}<0.01(\mathrm{~ns}=$ not significant $)$

## Complexity effects on dependent variables

Analyses in the following section were based on naming results for the 520 simple object pictures in English and Hungarian. Table 6 presents summary statistics for the most important dependent variables of the study, for each language. Reaction time (RT) measures were calculated two alternative ways, based on our 4-point lexical coding scheme (see Bates et al., 2000). "RT total" refers to the total mean RT, regardless to the lexical category of the responses. "RT target" is the mean reaction time calculated on the basis of the dominant responses only. The measures of nameability (or correctness) are based on our 3-point error-coding scheme. They represent the percent of subjects responding with a "Valid response," an "Invalid response," or failing to give any name, i.e., "Non-response." Invalid responses are often caused by hesitating sounds, such as "well" or "um," which trigger the voice key before the actual response is made. Compared with English, naming in Hungarian took more time, and was less accurate,
which is probably caused by cultural differences (the stimuli were taken from US picture materials-see Bates et al., 2000). The number of alternative names for the pictures are determined by "Raw types", and in addition, the "H statistic" was calculated (as defined in Snodgrass, 1980). Name agreement measures were based on the 4-point lexical coding scheme, "Lex1dom" referring to the percent of subjects providing the dominant name. "Lex2phon" is the percent of subjects providing morphophonological alternatives, and "Lex3syn" refers to synonyms of the dominant response. "Lex $1+2+3$ " and "Lex $2+3$ " are the sum of the above measures, representing meaningful alternatives of the dominant response (with, or without the dominant response). Finally, "Lex4err" is the percent of erroneous responses (based on a comparison to the dominant response). Hungarian name agreement is much lower than in English, with more alternative names in all of the above categories.

TABLE 6
Summary statistics of the dependent variables English picture-naming study Hungarian picture-naming study

| US | N | Mean | STD | MIN | MAX |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RT total | 520 | 1041 | 230 | 656 | 1843 |
| RT target | 520 | 1019 | 211 | 656 | 1823 |
| Valid resp. | 520 | $96.1 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Invalid resp. | 520 | $1.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| No name | 520 | $2.3 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| Types | 520 | $3.35 \%$ | $2.28 \%$ | 1 | 18 |
| H stat | 520 | 0.67 | $0.61 \%$ | 0 | 2.90 |
| Lex 1dom | 520 | $85.0 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Lex 2phon | 520 | $3.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Lex 3syn | 520 | $2.4 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Lex 4err | 520 | $9.0 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Lex 1+2 | 520 | $6.0 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Lex 1+2+3 | 520 | $91.1 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Complexity effects on measures of reaction time and nameability

Effects of objective visual complexity on reaction time were largely the same as findings in the literature using subjective VC: no significant correlations were found with naming latencies. However, complex pictures tended to elicit a higher proportion of codeable names (whether or not it was the dominant

| HU | N | Mean | STD | MIN | MAX |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RT total | 520 | 1105 | 281 | 659 | 2300 |
| RT target | 520 | 1071 | 268 | 659 | 3139 |
| Valid resp. | 520 | $94.1 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Invalid resp. | 520 | $2.2 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| No name | 520 | $3.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Types | 520 | 4.16 | 2.96 | 1 | 21 |
| H stat | 520 | 0.91 | 0.73 | 0 | 3.52 |
| Lex 1dom | 520 | $78.0 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Lex 2phon | 520 | $7.1 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Lex 3syn | 520 | $4.3 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Lex 4err | 520 | $10.6 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Lex 1+2 | 520 | $11.4 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Lex 1+2+3 | 520 | $89.5 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

name). In fact, those items that proved most difficult to name, like clamp or anvil, did tend to be low in objective complexity. This small but significant facilitative effect of complexity on nameability is similar for the two languages, suggesting (on the basis of two unrelated languages only) that this may be a universal effect. Results are summarized in Table 7.

TABLE 7
$R T$ and nameability ( $N=520$ )

|  | objVCpdf | objVCtiff | ObjVCjpg | ObjVCgif | objVCwpg | objVCmac | objVCpict |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| US \& HU RT total | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| US \& HU RT target | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| US Valid resp | $0.113^{* *}$ | $0.115^{* *}$ | $0.137^{* *}$ | $0.118^{* *}$ | $0.151^{* *}$ | $0.157^{* *}$ | $0.157^{* *}$ |
| HU Valid resp | $0.103^{*}$ | $0.104^{*}$ | $0.115^{* *}$ | $0.108^{*}$ | $0.138^{* *}$ | $0.138^{* *}$ | $0.138^{* *}$ |
| US Invalid resp | ns | ns | $-0.083 \sim$ | $-0.079 \sim$ | $-0.096^{*}$ | $-0.100^{*}$ | $-0.101^{*}$ |
| HU Invalid resp | ns | ns | ns | ns | $-0.089^{*}$ | $-0.096^{*}$ | $-0.096^{*}$ |
| US No-resp | $-0.106^{*}$ | $-0.107^{*}$ | $-0.126^{* *}$ | $-0.105^{*}$ | $-0.138^{* *}$ | $-0.142^{* *}$ | $-0.142^{* *}$ |
| HU No-resp | $-0.098^{*}$ | $-0.099^{*}$ | $-0.108^{*}$ | $-0.101^{*}$ | $-0.125^{* *}$ | $-0.122^{* *}$ | $-0.123^{* *}$ |

$$
\sim=\mathrm{p}<0.1, *=\mathrm{p}<0.05, * *=\mathrm{p}<0.01(\mathrm{~ns}=\text { not significant })
$$

## Objective visual complexity and name agreement

In the present study, we did not find correlations between objective VC and the number of alternatives in either language (measured by raw number of types or the H statistic). In English, OVC was also unrelated to percent name agreement (percent subjects responding with Lexical Code 1, the
dominant response). However, there was a small, positive effect on name agreement in Hungarian. In addition, objective VC does seem to be mildly correlated with production of accurate names (Lexical Categories 1-3 combined), especially in English, and it is negatively correlated with Lexical Category 4 (which includes frank visual errors). In other words, pictures with more objective visual information result
in less ambiguity, and elicit names that have the same "truth value", even though this small advantage does
not have an impact on reaction times. Results are summarized in Table 8 below.

TABLE 8
Effects of OVC on name agreement and objective visual complexity ( $N=520$ )

|  | ObjVCpdf | objVCtiff | ObjVCjpg | ObjVCgif | ObjVCwpg | objVCmac | objVCpict |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| US \& HU Raw types | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| US \& HU H statistics | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| US Lex 1dom | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| US Lex 2phon | ns | ns | $0.079 \sim$ | ns | $0.080 \sim$ | $0.083 \sim$ | $0.084 \sim$ |
| US Lex 3syn | ns | ns | $0.079_{\sim}$ | ns | ns | $0.081 \sim$ | $0.080 \sim$ |
| US Lex 4err | $-0.075 \sim$ | $-0.077 \sim$ | $-0.100^{*}$ | $-0.075 \sim$ | $-0.100^{*}$ | $-0.104^{*}$ | $-0.103^{*}$ |
| US Lex 2+3 | $0.088^{*}$ | $0.091^{*}$ | $0.113^{* *}$ | $0.097^{*}$ | $0.104^{*}$ | $0.118^{* *}$ | $0.118^{* *}$ |
| US Lex 1+2+3 | $0.075 \sim$ | $0.077 \sim$ | $0.100^{*}$ | $0.075 \sim$ | $0.100^{*}$ | $0.103^{*}$ | $0.103^{*}$ |
| HU Lex 1dom | $0.077 \sim$ | $0.077 \sim$ | $0.077 \sim$ | $0.075 \sim$ | $0.087^{*}$ | $0.083 \sim$ | $0.083 \sim$ |
| HU Lex 2phon | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Lex 3syn | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Lex 4err | ns | ns | ns | ns | $-0.076_{\sim}$ | $-0.073 \sim$ | $-0.073 \sim$ |
| HU Lex 2+3 | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Lex 1+2+3 | ns | ns | ns | ns | $0.076 \sim$ | $0.074 \sim$ | $0.073 \sim$ |

$$
\sim=\mathrm{p}<0.1, *=\mathrm{p}<0.05, * *=\mathrm{p}<0.01 \text { (ns=not significant) }
$$

## Regression analysis: filtering out complexity effects

In order to control for potential confounds among these predictors, six stepwise regression analyses were also conducted (separately for English and Hungarian) in which the contribution of each variable on the final step was assessed after the other five predictors were entered into the equation. For the sake of economy, these analyses were conducted only on those dependent variables which showed a close relationship with objective VC measures. They are:
nameability (percent of valid responses), percent dominant name agreement (Lexical 1 category), accurate alternatives of the dominant response (Lexical Categories 2 and 3 combined), and percent name agreement of erroneous responses (Lex 4). Table 9 summarizes the total variance accounted for by all predictors together, and the amount of variance contributed uniquely by each predictor after the other variables are controlled in each of the above cases.

TABLE 9a
Joint and unique contributions of predictor variables to naming outcomes for 520 object pictures in English

| ENGLISH | \% Valid <br> Response | \% Dominant <br> Name | \% Synonym or <br> Morph. Alternative | \% Erroneous or <br> Other Alternative |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OREDICTORS | $+.014^{* *}$ | -.001 ns | $+.012^{*}$ | -.004 ns |
| Log Natural Frequency (US) | $+.005 \sim$ | $+.007^{*}$ | -.003 ns | -.003 ns |
| Length in Syllables (US) | -.000 ns | $+.009^{*}$ | $-.021^{* *}$ | +.000 ns |
| Length in Characters (US) | +.000 ns | $-.013^{* *}$ | $+.052^{* * *}$ | -.003 ns |
| Subjective AOA (US) | $-.088^{* * *}$ | $-.019^{* * *}$ | -.001 ns | $+.043^{* * *}$ |
| Goodness of Depiction (US) | $+.077^{* * *}$ | $+.124^{* * *}$ | $-.008^{*}$ | $-.145^{* * *}$ |
| TOTAL R ${ }^{2}$ | $.293 * * *$ | $.227^{* * *}$ | $.095^{* * *}$ | $.270^{* * *}$ |

$$
\sim=\mathrm{p}<.10 ; *=\mathrm{p}<.05 ; * *=\mathrm{p}<.01 ; * * *=\mathrm{p}<.001 \text { (ns = not significant) }
$$

( + and - refer to the direction of the zero-order partial correlations)

In the equation presented in Table 9a the six English independent predictors account for $29.3 \%$ of the variance of valid responses. The Objective VC measure does make a small positive addition of $1.4 \%$ ( $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ) to the overall equation when all other measures were controlled. The contribution of subjective ratings of AOA and Goodness of Depiction contribute $8 \%$ and $9 \%$ to the variance ( $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.001 ). Objective visual complexity does not play a
significant role in determining variance of the dominant response, which seems to be best determined by goodness-of-depiction ratings. Objective VC has a minor effect on accurate alternatives of the dominant response, explaining $1.2 \%$ of the total of $9.5 \%$ variance. Visually complex pictures slightly increase nameability of the pictures in English, though not necessarily by increasing the use of the target name itself.

TABLE 9b
Joint and unique contributions of predictor variables to naming outcomes for 520 object pictures in Hungarian

| HUNGARIAN \& ENGLISH | \% Valid <br> Response | \% Dominant <br> Name | \% Synonym or <br> Morph. Alternative | \% Erroneous or <br> Other Alternative |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PREDICTORS | $+.009^{*}$ | +.004 ns | -.003 ns | -.001 ns |
| Lobjective VC (JPG) | $+.009^{*}$ | +.001 ns | -.000 ns | -.001 ns |
| Length in Syllables (HU) | +.002 ns | -.002 ns | +.004 ns | -.000 ns |
| Length in Characters (HU) | -.003 ns | -.001 ns | +.001 ns | +.000 ns |
| Subjective AOA ratings (US) | $-.015^{* *}$ | -.002 ns | -.000 ns | $+.005 \sim$ |
| Goodness of Depiction ratings (US) | $+.059^{* * *}$ | $+.059 * * *$ | -.000 ns | $-.100^{* * *}$ |
| TOTAL R ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $.142^{* * *}$ | $.103^{* * *}$ | $.035^{* *}$ | $.131^{* * *}$ |

$\sim=\mathrm{p}<.10 ; *^{*}=\mathrm{p}<.05 ;^{* *}=\mathrm{p}<.01 ;^{* * *}=\mathrm{p}<.001(\mathrm{~ns}=$ not significant $)$
(+ and - refer to the direction of the zero-order partial correlations)

Similar to the English results, visually complex pictures are slightly more codeable in Hungarian (Table 9b) as well, though effects of AOA and goodness of depiction ratings (even though they were taken from a US sample) are better, and more significant predictors of nameability. Words acquired earlier seem to be named more accurately, and welldepicted objects increase performance as well. Objective VC does not account significantly for variance of any of the lexical categories (goodness of depiction is the only measure to account for the modest overall variance of the dominant name).

## Validating objective visual complexity

To compare our results with those obtained in prior studies using subjective visual complexity ratings, we used a subset of 168 words that are common among the present study, Snodgrass and Yuditsky, and San Feliu. To determine whether this subset differed
systematically from our larger picture set, we compared means on all our variables, using the conventional two-sample t-test (Table 10). Pictures in the overlapping set are generally less complex (all objective measures of visual complexity indicate significant differences between the two sets). Naming is also quicker for the overlapping subset, and fewer alternatives are elicited. The subset includes concepts that are rated as acquired earlier (subjective AOA), and they are rated as easier to depict. All the above differences are significant at $\mathrm{p}<0.01$. Pictures of the subset are less likely to evoke erroneous responses ( $p$ $<0.05$ ). These pictures also tend to be more frequent, and less likely to elicit invalid RT responses; however, these differences are not significant ( $\mathrm{p}<$ 0.1 ). Interestingly, there are no significant differences of word length in the two sets. Although English and Hungarian naming records are quite different, the differences between the two sets summarized above are equally valid for both languages.

TABLE 10
One way comparison of population means of the two sets of stimuli

| Variable | N=168Mean | N=352Mean | $\mathbf{p}$ < |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ObjVCpdf | 3441 | 4280 | 0.01 |
| ObjVCtiff | 2140 | 2783 | 0.01 |
| ObjVCjpg | 13026 | 18507 | 0.01 |
| ObjVCgif | 1853 | 2487 | 0.01 |
| ObjVCwpg | 3198 | 4194 | 0.01 |
| ObjVCmac | 4653 | 5534 | 0.01 |
| ObjVCpict | 4002 | 4885 | 0.01 |


| Variable | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{1 6 8 M e a n}$ | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 5 2 M e a n}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ < |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| US Freq | 2.67 | 2.42 | 0.1 |
| US Syll | 1.77 | 1.73 | ns |
| US Char | 5.88 | 5.90 | ns |
| US AOA | 4.89 | 5.44 | 0.01 |
| US Goodness | 5.02 | 4.70 | 0.01 |
| US RT total | 956 | 1082 | 0.01 |
| US RT target | 939 | 1057 | 0.01 |
| US Valid RT | $96.8 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ | 0.1 |
| US Invalid RT | $1.74 \%$ | $2.61 \%$ | 0.1 |
| US No name | $1.46 \%$ | $1.56 \%$ | ns |
| US Types | 2.85 | 3.60 | 0.01 |
| US H stat | 0,529 | 0.742 | 0.01 |
| US Lex 1dom | $88.4 \%$ | $83.4 \%$ | 0.01 |
| US Lex 2phon | $2.78 \%$ | $4.08 \%$ | ns |
| US Lex 3syn | $1.86 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ | ns |
| US Lex 4err | $7.00 \%$ | $9.89 \%$ | 0.05 |
| US Lex 1+2 | $4.64 \%$ | $6.68 \%$ | 0.1 |
| US Lex 1+2+3 | $93.0 \%$ | $90.1 \%$ | 0.05 |


| Variable | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{1 6 8 M e a n}$ | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 5 2 M e a n}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ < |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| HU Freq | 1.57 | 1.28 | ns |
| HU Syll | 2.30 | 2.28 | ns |
| HU Char | 6.10 | 6.06 | ns |
| HU RT total | 1008 | 1151 | 0.01 |
| HU RT target | 981 | 1115 | 0.01 |
| HU Valid RT | $94.9 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ | ns |
| HU Unvalid RT | $1.60 \%$ | $2.49 \%$ | 0.1 |
| HU No name | $3.52 \%$ | $3.73 \%$ | ns |
| HU Types | 3.48 | 4.48 | 0.01 |
| HU H stat | 0.748 | 0.982 | 0.01 |
| HU Lex 1dom | $81.7 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ | 0.01 |
| HU Lex 2phon | $5.59 \%$ | $7.81 \%$ | 0.1 |
| HU Lex 3syn | $4.90 \%$ | $4.05 \%$ | ns |
| HU Lex 4err | $7.83 \%$ | $11.90 \%$ | 0.01 |
| HU Lex 1+2 | $10.50 \%$ | $11.90 \%$ | ns |
| HU Lex 1+2+3 | $92.2 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | 0.01 |

Subjective and objective measures of visual complexity are closely related

To determine whether these measures of image file size are related to the subjective measures that have been used in previous studies, correlations were calculated with subjective ratings on a subset of 168 object pictures. Rating results were adopted from the English and Spanish studies (Snodgrass and

Vanderwart, 1980 and Sanfeliu and Fernandez, 1996). The commonly used file formats with the highest correlation values are listed in Table 4 (correlation coefficients are significant at $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). PDF, TIFF and JPG file formats seem to resemble best how subjects see simple line drawings on a scale from very simple to very complex.

TABLE 11
Correlations between subjective and objective visual complexity measures for a subset of 168 items

|  | English VC | Spanish VC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ObjVCpdf | $0.715^{* *}$ | $0.572^{* *}$ |
| ObjVCtiff | $0.713^{* *}$ | $0.570^{* *}$ |
| ObjVCjpg | $0.681^{* *}$ | $0.560^{* *}$ |
| ObjVCgif | $0.671^{* *}$ | $0.553^{* *}$ |
| ObjVCwpg | $0.575^{* *}$ | $0.493^{* *}$ |
| ObjVCmac | $0.548^{* *}$ | $0.462^{* *}$ |
| ObjVCpict | $0.545^{* *}$ | $0.458^{* *}$ |
|  | $* *=\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |  |

Subjective and objective VC measures are closely correlated (Table 11). Objective VC is better correlated with English subjective VC, probably because the pictures come from an American corpus, and Spanish raters probably do not set aside other subjective determinants (such as familiarity of the picture) when rating pictures for complexity. Table 12 summarizes the correlation of subjective as well as objective VC measures with various independent variables of three different studies. Both VC measures indicate that familiar items seem to be less
complex than unfamiliar items. This relationship is stronger when visual complexity is assessed subjectively. Simpler pictures also tend to be named with words that are acquired earlier, although this effect is small and less often significant for Objective VC indices. Word frequency is highly and significantly correlated with subjective VC, but frequency is not related to any of the objective complexity measures. Word length is associated with both kinds of measures.

TABLE 12
Correlations of complexity with lexical variables, based on a subset of 168 items

|  | Familiarity |  | Age of Acquisition |  | Frequency CRL-00' | Syllables CRL-00' | Characters CRL-00' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Snod-80' | Sanf-96' | Snod-96' | CRL-00' |  |  |  |
| English VC | -0.441** | -0.408** | 0.276** | $0.201^{* *}$ | -0.231** | 0.158* | 0.126 ns |
| Spanish VC | -0.404** | -0.513** | 0.305** | 0.228** | -0.273** | 0.188* | 0.103ns |
| ObjVCpdf | -0.317** | -0.286** | 0.189* | 0.145~ | -0.093ns | 0.201** | 0.133~ |
| ObjVCtiff | -0.319** | -0.288** | 0.185* | 0.143~ | -0.095ns | 0.198** | 0.132~ |
| ObjVCjpg | -0.258** | -0.236** | 0.158* | 0.119 ns | -0.093ns | 0.195* | 0.132~ |
| ObjVCgif | -0.251** | -0.243** | 0.158* | 0.126 ns | $-0.057 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.184* | 0.116 ns |
| ObjVCwpg | -0.247** | -0.241** | 0.137~ | 0.119 ns | -0.046ns | 0.139~ | 0.070 ns |
| ObjVCmac | -0.173* | -0.161* | 0.076 ns | 0.058 ns | 0.013 ns | 0.140~ | 0.068 ns |
| ObjVCpict | -0.173* | -0.160* | 0.076 ns | 0.060 ns | 0.011 ns | 0.140~ | 0.069 ns |

$$
\sim=\mathrm{p}<0.1, *=\mathrm{p}<0.05, * *=\mathrm{p}<0.01
$$

(Origin of variables: Snod-80': Snodgrass and Vanderwart, 1980 Sanf-96’: Sanfeliu and Fernandez 1996,
CRL-00': International Picture-Norming Project, 2000)

Regression analysis: subjective vs. objective complexity and other lexical measures

We used stepwise regression analysis on the subset of 168 items in order to find out if the overlap of subjective visual complexity with other independent measures remains significant when objective VC controlled, and vice-versa. Table 13 presents the independent association of subjective vs. objective measures of VC with several other independent measures taken from three different sources (CRL International Picture Norming Project, 2000; Sanfeliu and Fernandez, 1996; Snodgrass and Vanderwart, 1980). The following lexical measures are tested: word frequency, and length in syllables and characters of the US dominant response, subjective AOA ratings in Spanish and English, and goodness-of-depiction ratings in English, subjective Familiarity Ratings (based on the use of everyday objects
represented by the pictures) and subjective Image Agreement Ratings (correspondence of the picture with the mental image previously evoked by the dominant name), both in English and Spanish, picture name agreement (testing the correspondence between the picture and its dominant name) and variability (the number of mental images evoked by the heard name) in Spanish. The first column of the table shows the unique contribution of two subjective VC measures (Spanish and English complexity ratings) to the variance in each of these lexical measures, when two characteristic objective VC measures are controlled. The second column presents the unique contribution of the two objective VC variables (JPG and PDF format) to the same measures, when the subjective VC measures are entered into the equation first. The last column presents joint effects of subjective and objective visual complexity.

TABLE 13
Unique and joint contributions of subjective vs. objective VC to other lexical predictors

| Other Predictor Variables | Subjective <br> Complexity | Objective <br> Complexity | Joint <br> Contribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Natural Log Frequency (CRL) | $-.080^{* * *}$ | +.018 ns | $.095^{* *}$ |
| Length in Syllables (CRL) | +.009 ns | +.016 ns | $.053 \sim$ |
| Length in Characters (CRL) | +.002 ns | +.013 ns | .029 ns |
| Subjective AOA ratings (CRL) | $+.043^{*}$ | -.003 ns | $.059^{*}$ |
| Subjective AOA ratings (Snodgrass, 1996) | $+.080^{* * *}$ | -.004 ns | $.105^{* * *}$ |
| Goodness-of-Depiction ratings (CRL) | +.004 ns | $-.037 *$ | $.049 \sim$ |
| Subjective Familiarity ratings (Snodgrass) | $-.155^{* * *}$ | +.010 ns | $.223^{* * *}$ |
| Subjective Familiarity ratings (Sanfeliu) | $-.226^{* * *}$ | +.019 ns | $.286^{* * *}$ |
| Subjective Image Agreement ratings (Snodgrass) | -.019 ns | -.012 ns | $.052 \sim$ |
| Subjective Image Agreement ratings (Sanfeliu) | -.010 ns | -.011 ns | .025 ns |
| Picture Name Agreement ratings (Sanfeliu) | +.010 ns | -.008 ns | .016 ns |
| Variablity ratings (Sanfeliu) | -.010 ns | +.012 ns | .015 ns |

> Subjective $=$ Snodgrass 1980 and San Feliu 1996 ratings, entered jointly; Objective $=J P G$ and WPG estimates, entered jointly;
> "Unique contribution" refers to variance contributed by each pair of variables on the last step.
> $\sim=\mathrm{p}<.10 ; *=\mathrm{p}<.05 ; * *=\mathrm{p}<.01 ; * * *=\mathrm{p}<.001$
> $(+$ and - refer to the direction of the zero-order partial correlations $)$

Results indicate that subjective visual complexity ratings are inflated by or collinear with other measures, such as familiarity, age of acquisition and word frequency. Specifically, pictures that are rated as more complex are associated with names rated as less frequent, less familiar, and acquired late. None of these confounds are present in objective visual complexity (or at least to a much lesser degree). However, goodness of depiction adds a significant $3.7 \%$ increase to the variance in objective VC when all other variables are accounted for. In other words, pictures that are rated as "better representations of the
concept" tend to be higher in objective (but not subjective) visual complexity.

Correlation analysis: no objective VC effects based on a subset of the pictures

To compare objective and subjective effects directly on reaction time, nameability, the number of alternative namings and name agreement measures, we had to reduce our original sample to the overlapping set of 168 items, which tend to be "better or "easier" items on multiple parameters. We
therefore wanted to determine whether the few effects of objective complexity that we observed on our earlier analyses (with all 520 items) would hold up with this subset, and whether there would be significant differences between objective and subjective complexity in this regard. Table 14 summarizes correlations between complexity measures (US subjective VC, Spanish subjective VC and our principal measure of objective VC) and the primary dependent variables from our naming study. Three different objective VC measures were tested (the most commonly used PDF, JPG and TIFF file
formats), with the same results. Briefly summarized, objective VC had no significant effects on naming performance for this subset of 168 items. By contrast, we did find at least a few weak significant effects of subjective complexity in this data set. In particular, the US complexity measure was associated with more erroneous responses (Lexical Code 4), an effect that held up for naming in both our Hungarian and our English data. The Spanish complexity measure was also associated with an increase in naming errors, but in this case the relationship only holds for the English naming data.

TABLE 14
Subjective and objective VC measures predicting dependent variables of Hungarian and English naming performance on a subset of 168 items

|  | USsubjVC | SPsubjVC | objVC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| US RT total | ns | ns | ns |
| US RT target | ns | ns | ns |
| US Valid resp | ns | ns | ns |
| US Invalid resp | ns | ns | ns |
| US No-resp | ns | ns | ns |
| US Raw types | ns | $0.134 \sim$ | ns |
| US H value | ns | $0.170^{*}$ | ns |
| US Lex 1dom | ns | $-0.185^{*}$ | ns |
| US Lex 2phon | ns | ns | ns |
| US Lex 3syn | ns | ns | ns |
| US Lex 4err | $0.152^{*}$ | $0.186^{*}$ | ns |
| US Lex 2+3 | ns | ns | ns |
| US Lex 1+2+3 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 5 2 *}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 8 6} *$ | ns |


|  | USsubjVC | SPsubjVC | objVC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HU RT total | ns | ns | ns |
| HU RT target | $0,145 \sim$ | ns | ns |
| HU Valid resp | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Invalid resp | ns | ns | ns |
| HU No-resp | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Raw types | ns | Ns | ns |
| HU H value | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Lex 1dom | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Lex 2phon | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Lex 3syn | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Lex 4err | $0.165^{*}$ | ns | ns |
| HU Lex 2+3 | ns | ns | ns |
| HU Lex 1+2+3 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 6 5 *}$ | ns | ns |

$$
\sim=\mathrm{p}<0.1, *=\mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{*}=\mathrm{p}<0.01 \text { (ns = not significant) }
$$

We also repeated the regression analyses using our key independent variables (length in syllables, and characters, familiarity, AOA, frequency, subjective visual complexity, and goodness of depiction) to account for the variance of erroneous responses. Together these variables explained $28 \%$ of the variance, but few of them made a unique contribution on the last step. Subjective VC only added a nonsignificant $2 \%$ on the final step, and Objective VC added nothing at all. The AOA measures added $6 \%$ and goodness-of-the-picture ratings added another $7 \%$ (both significant) to the equation. The rest of the variance is lost in the interactions among these mostly subjective measures. Hence the correlations in Table 14 involving subjective VC are due to the variance the subjective complexity shares with other kinds of ratings. In this smaller sample of relatively easy items (the 168 -item subset), effects of visual complexity on naming behavior are undetectable.

## Conclusion

In the present study a new, objective variable of visual complexity was introduced, based on the size of the picture file, coded in different file formats and degree of compression. These new variables were significantly correlated with traditional subjective visual complexity, indicating that both approaches are measuring the amount of detail in the picture. However, they are rather different from the traditional subjective complexity ratings in their relation to other determinants of the naming task. Similarly to subjective measures, complex pictures tend to elicit longer names. However, they do not affect naming latency, and, unlike subjective ratings, are not confounded with word frequency, familiarity and age of acquisition. On the other hand, objective VC does correlate with nameability, word length, and with goodness of depiction in the full sample of 520 items. Complex pictures are more likely to be rated as easily depicted, they reduce the likelihood of visual errors in
naming performance, and tend to elicit alternatives that are synonyms or morphophonological variants of the dominant naming. Based on the above results objective visual complexity measures based on the file size (in JPG, TIFF or PDF) of black-and-white simple line drawings can be a useful and easy tool for picture-naming studies in the future.
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## Appendix

| $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Pic } & \text { US dominant } \\ \text { num } & \text { response }\end{array}$ | ObjVC PDF | $\begin{gathered} \text { ObjVC } \\ \text { JPG } \end{gathered}$ | Valid \% | name | No nam | \% | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Syll | es |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 1 accordion | 5016 | 21540 | 76\% | 96\% | 22\% | 0\% | 87\% | 85\% | 0\% | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 0\% | 4 | 4 |
| 2 acorn | 3051 | 9198 | 94\% | 94\% | 4\% | 6\% | 83\% | 87\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% | 13\% | 2 | 1 |
| 3 airplane | 3569 | 16810 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 70\% | 51\% | 22\% | 49\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 4 alligator | 3386 | 14874 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 90\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4 | 3 |
| 5 anchor | 3588 | 14010 | 96\% | 92\% | 4\% | 2\% | 100\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% | 17\% | 2 | 2 |
| 6 ant | 3723 | 13915 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 88\% | 91\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 12\% | 9\% | 1 | 2 |
| 7 antlers | 3544 | 12147 | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 72\% | 76\% | 0\% | 4\% | 26\% | 17\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 8 anvil | 2583 | 8356 | 68\% | 84\% | 30\% | 16\% | 71\% | 83\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 29\% | 14\% | 2 | 2 |
| 9 apple | 2882 | 8241 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 10 fishtank | 8009 | 45899 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 48\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 46\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2 | 4 |
| 11 arm | 2392 | 6270 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 53\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 43\% | 1 | 1 |
| 12 arrow | 2075 | 5990 | 100\% | 88\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 59\% | 0\% | 41\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2 | 1 |
| 13 artichoke | 4060 | 15203 | 68\% | 62\% | 26\% | 24\% | 79\% | 26\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 21\% | 74\% | 3 | 4 |
| 14 ashtray | 3177 | 12932 | 74\% | 92\% | 22\% | 6\% | 84\% | 76\% | 0\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 2\% | 2 | 4 |
| 15 asparagus | 3067 | 9654 | 86\% | 80\% | 12\% | 20\% | 88\% | 28\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 3\% | 12\% | 63\% | 4 | 1 |
| 16 ax | 2689 | 7849 | 88\% | 98\% | 6\% | 0\% | 86\% | 53\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14\% | 43\% | 0\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 17 baby | 4162 | 18598 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 31\% | 0\% | 21\% | 4\% | 15\% | 2\% | 33\% | 2 | 3 |
| 18 bottle | 3122 | 8529 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 90\% | 94\% | 8\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2 | 4 |
| 19 stroller | 4144 | 17135 | 94\% | 90\% | 4\% | 0\% | 49\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 45\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 2 | 4 |
| 20 backpack | 5906 | 31598 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 88\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2 | 3 |
| 21 badge | 4329 | 15109 | 94\% | 92\% | 4\% | 2\% | 68\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 28\% | 67\% | 1 | 4 |
| 22 bag | 4554 | 18014 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 58\% | 14\% | 36\% | 2\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 23 balcony | 6224 | 35416 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 65\% | 80\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 35\% | 18\% | 3 | 2 |
| 24 ball | 3398 | 13345 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 25 balloon | 2861 | 8015 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 65\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 35\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 26 banana | 2879 | 8767 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 2 |
| 27 bandaid | 3313 | 13392 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 92\% | 49\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 47\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2 | 3 |
| 28 banjo | 4267 | 17479 | 92\% | 88\% | 4\% | 2\% | 87\% | 57\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 43\% | 2 | 2 |
| 29 barbecue | 3493 | 12302 | 98\% | 80\% | 2\% | 10\% | 90\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 88\% | 3 | 1 |
| 30 barrel | 4144 | 18478 | 96\% | 98\% | 4\% | 0\% | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 31 basket | 5335 | 23651 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 98\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 32 bat | 4116 | 16687 | 96\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 3 |


| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Pic } & \text { US dominant } \\ \text { num } & \text { response } \end{array}$ | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid \% | name \% | No nam | \% | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Syll |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 33 bathtub | 3343 | 18067 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 78\% | 59\% | 22\% | 41\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 1 |
| 34 bear | 3704 | 14353 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 82\% | 67\% | 18\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 35 beard | 6128 | 30362 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 96\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 36 beaver | 3205 | 11319 | 94\% | 84\% | 6\% | 10\% | 74\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 26\% | 33\% | 2 | 1 |
| 37 bed | 3448 | 13761 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 38 bee | 3445 | 12184 | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 69\% | 46\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 31\% | 54\% | 1 | 1 |
| 39 bug | 3655 | 12207 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 44\% | 65\% | 2\% | 33\% | 4\% | 0\% | 50\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 40 bell | 3065 | 11109 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 53\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 47\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 41 belt | 4028 | 18762 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 84\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 42 bench | 4045 | 25379 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 43 bicycle | 4966 | 24322 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 70\% | 80\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 44 binoculars | 4259 | 18262 | 90\% | 92\% | 6\% | 0\% | 100\% | 74\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 26\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4 | 2 |
| 45 bird | 3498 | 13239 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 80\% | 47\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 49\% | 1 | 2 |
| 46 blimp | 2684 | 9051 | 94\% | 86\% | 4\% | 6\% | 81\% | 63\% | 2\% | 0\% | 9\% | 35\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1 | 3 |
| 47 wood | 3824 | 17090 | 98\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 55\% | 76\% | 4\% | 2\% | 39\% | 10\% | 2\% | 12\% | 1 | 2 |
| 48 boat | 2822 | 11180 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 71\% | 65\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 29\% | 33\% | 1 | 2 |
| 49 bomb | 2583 | 6984 | 98\% | 92\% | 0\% | 4\% | 90\% | 87\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 13\% | 1 | 2 |
| 50 bone | 3593 | 14370 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 51 book | 2812 | 8619 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 52 boot | 2926 | 8857 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 90\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 10\% | 1 | 2 |
| 53 bottle | 2745 | 6551 | 98\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 90\% | 96\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 6\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 54 bowl | 2834 | 9408 | 98\% | 84\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 76\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 21\% | 1 | 1 |
| 55 bow | 3761 | 14836 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 78\% | 74\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 26\% | 1 | 2 |
| 56 box | 4003 | 18074 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 57 boy | 4338 | 15675 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 43\% | 2\% | 28\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 30\% | 1 | 3 |
| 58 branch | 2680 | 7227 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 68\% | 49\% | 8\% | 47\% | 10\% | 0\% | 14\% | 4\% | 1 | 1 |
| 59 bra | 3515 | 11410 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 3 |
| 60 bread | 2879 | 10161 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 61 bride | 4025 | 14046 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 86\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14\% | 6\% | 1 | 3 |
| 62 bridge | 5532 | 27543 | 100\% | 86\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 95\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 63 broom | 3395 | 11261 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 64 brush | 3412 | 12280 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 94\% | 79\% | 6\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 1 | 2 |
| 65 bus | 4604 | 23164 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 69\% | 0\% | 29\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |


| Pic US dominant num response | ObjVC PDF | ObjVc JPG | Valid \% | name | No na | \% | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Sylla | es |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 66 butter | 3351 | 15536 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 33\% | 2 | 1 |
| 67 butterfly | 5072 | 24645 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 64\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 36\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 2 |
| 68 button | 2373 | 5726 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 1 |
| 69 cactus | 9801 | 55204 | 96\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 70 cage | 3809 | 15117 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92\% | 81\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 1 | 2 |
| 71 cake | 3942 | 16237 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 72 camel | 5299 | 26026 | 96\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 68\% | 0\% | 30\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 73 camera | 4140 | 16408 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 5 |
| 74 can | 3135 | 10069 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 94\% | 71\% | 2\% | 29\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 75 candle | 2934 | 8385 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 76 cane | 2423 | 5668 | 96\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 96\% | 81\% | 2\% | 13\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | $2 \%$ | 1 | 1 |
| 77 cannon | 4036 | 17678 | 92\% | 96\% | 6\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 78 canoe | 4951 | 27029 | 94\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 62\% | 45\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 38\% | 55\% | 2 | 2 |
| 79 canopener | 3747 | 16172 | 92\% | 22\% | 8\% | 72\% | 96\% | 36\% | 2\% | 9\% | 0\% | 9\% | 2\% | 45\% | 4 | 5 |
| 80 hat | 2815 | 9464 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 31\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 1 | 2 |
| 81 car | 2839 | 9255 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 82 carousel | 6786 | 32489 | 96\% | 88\% | 2\% | 8\% | 60\% | 57\% | 0\% | 0\% | 31\% | 18\% | 8\% | 25\% | 3 | 3 |
| 83 carrot | 3484 | 13201 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 84 tape | 4959 | 26164 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 80\% | 86\% | 4\% | 14\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 3 |
| 85 castle | 5082 | 22746 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2 | 1 |
| 86 cat | 3162 | 9894 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 70\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 87 celery | 5214 | 22928 | 86\% | 60\% | 4\% | 34\% | 77\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 23\% | 77\% | 3 | 2 |
| 88 chain | 3316 | 12912 | 96\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |
| 89 chair | 3487 | 11238 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 90 cheese | 3266 | 12988 | 82\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |
| 91 cherry | 2261 | 4325 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 69\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 10\% | 10\% | 2 | 3 |
| 92 chest | 6107 | 31663 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 85\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 9\% | 6\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 93 chicken | 3502 | 12886 | 94\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 72\% | 91\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 4\% | 19\% | 4\% | 2 | 1 |
| 94 chimney | 2709 | 9730 | 92\% | 94\% | 4\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 95 church | 6679 | 34595 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 96 cigarette | 2795 | 7988 | 98\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 94\% | 77\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 23\% | 6\% | 0\% | 3 | 4 |
| 97 city | 7266 | 44479 | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 85\% | 88\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 8\% | 13\% | 2 | 2 |
| 98 clamp | 2642 | 8045 | 60\% | 66\% | 34\% | 24\% | 50\% | 45\% | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% | 18\% | 43\% | 36\% | 1 | 2 |


| $\begin{array}{cl} \text { Pic } & \text { US dominant } \\ \text { num } & \text { response } \end{array}$ | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid | name \% | No na | \% |  |  |  |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Syll |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 99 clock | 5234 | 25639 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 100 clothespin | 2993 | 10833 | 76\% | 100\% | 20\% | 0\% | 63\% | 90\% | 11\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 26\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 101 cloud | 3053 | 11916 | 94\% | 82\% | 6\% | 6\% | 81\% | 68\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 29\% | 1 | 2 |
| 102 clown | 4770 | 21244 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 103 coat | 4035 | 13847 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 56\% | 88\% | 2\% | 0\% | 40\% | 0\% | 2\% | 12\% | 1 | 2 |
| 104 dime | 3974 | 14784 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 60\% | 45\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 27\% | 38\% | 22\% | 1 | 1 |
| 105 pillar | 3303 | 11413 | 86\% | 100\% | 14\% | 0\% | 47\% | 94\% | 0\% | 4\% | 37\% | 0\% | 16\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 106 comb | 6256 | 28324 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 107 cookie | 2798 | 7256 | 90\% | 44\% | 6\% | 42\% | 82\% | 18\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 18\% | 77\% | 2 | 1 |
| 108 cork | 4250 | 18503 | 92\% | 94\% | 6\% | 2\% | 85\% | 66\% | 0\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% | 15\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 109 corkscrew | 3378 | 11421 | 76\% | 88\% | 14\% | 0\% | 50\% | 91\% | 3\% | 0\% | 5\% | 2\% | 42\% | 7\% | 2 | 4 |
| 110 corn | 4049 | 16041 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 4 |
| 111 cow | 4173 | 17300 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 84\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 6\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 112 cowboy | 5244 | 21168 | 98\% | 90\% | 0\% | 4\% | 80\% | 69\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 20\% | 20\% | 2 | 2 |
| 113 crab | 4857 | 21262 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 92\% | 73\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 25\% | 1 | 1 |
| 114 crackers | 3460 | 16150 | 98\% | 90\% | 2\% | 4\% | 84\% | 96\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 4\% | 2 | 1 |
| 115 crib | 3909 | 13719 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 30\% | 0\% | 70\% | 2\% | 0\% | 14\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 116 cross | 2887 | 9790 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 117 crown | 5072 | 23655 | 96\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 94\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 1 | 3 |
| 118 block | 3005 | 10667 | 94\% | 98\% | 4\% | 0\% | 30\% | 73\% | 0\% | 0\% | 28\% | 0\% | 43\% | 27\% | 1 | 2 |
| 119 cup | 2804 | 8190 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 69\% | 12\% | 4\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 27\% | 1 | 2 |
| 120 curtains | 3815 | 15194 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 60\% | 96\% | 16\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 12\% | 4\% | 2 | 2 |
| 121 deer | 4021 | 15056 | 98\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 90\% | 73\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 27\% | 8\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 122 dentist | 3984 | 14931 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 88\% | 92\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 2\% | 2 | 3 |
| 123 desert | 8167 | 45024 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 54\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 46\% | 2 | 2 |
| 124 desk | 3876 | 17761 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 100\% | 57\% | 0\% | 43\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 4 |
| 125 diaper | 4561 | 17126 | 96\% | 92\% | 2\% | 2\% | 48\% | 35\% | 19\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 65\% | 3 | 3 |
| 126 dinosaur | 3576 | 12393 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 98\% | 84\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 10\% | 3 | 5 |
| 127 doctor | 4565 | 17528 | 98\% | 92\% | 2\% | 0\% | 84\% | 65\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 16\% | 22\% | 2 | 2 |
| 128 dog | 3373 | 12012 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 129 doll | 5071 | 26607 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 86\% | 83\% | 0\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 130 dolphin | 3006 | 9949 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2 | 2 |
| 131 donkey | 4105 | 15643 | 96\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 77\% | 79\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 13\% | 10\% | 8\% | 2 | 2 |


| Pic num | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid name \% |  | No name \% |  | Lex 1\% |  | Lex 2\% |  | Lex 3\% |  | Lex 4\% |  | Syllables |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 132 door | 3478 | 12638 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 133 dragon | 4853 | 19272 | 96\% | 100\% | 4\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 134 drawer | 3885 | 16141 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 135 dress | 5576 | 23619 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 136 dresser | 3602 | 21173 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 4\% | 48\% | 34\% | 2\% | 19\% | 28\% | 0\% | 22\% | 47\% | 2 | 2 |
| 137 drill | 3892 | 16254 | 86\% | 92\% | 10\% | 0\% | 63\% | 48\% | 7\% | 46\% | 0\% | 0\% | 30\% | 7\% | 1 | 2 |
| 138 drum | 6895 | 39085 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 80\% | 98\% | 20\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 139 duck | 3085 | 11588 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 82\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14\% | 4\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 140 dustpan | 3993 | 17095 | 84\% | 88\% | 16\% | 4\% | 69\% | 64\% | 14\% | 32\% | 7\% | 0\% | 10\% | 5\% | 2 | 2 |
| 141 eagle | 4133 | 15555 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 58\% | 74\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 15\% | 42\% | 11\% | 2 | 1 |
| 142 ear | 3005 | 9033 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 143 earring | 2499 | 5676 | 68\% | 54\% | 32\% | 28\% | 59\% | 44\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 41\% | 56\% | 2 | 4 |
| 144 egg | 3179 | 10440 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 92\% | 2\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 145 elephant | 5237 | 24585 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 146 envelope | 2941 | 11394 | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92\% | 59\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 39\% | 8\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 147 eskimo | 3497 | 11857 | 88\% | 96\% | 12\% | 2\% | 89\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 4\% | 3 | 3 |
| 148 eye | 2907 | 9104 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 98\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 149 fan | 6589 | 35152 | 98\% | 86\% | 0\% | 8\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 4 |
| 150 faucet | 4003 | 17509 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 82\% | 83\% | 0\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 18\% | 0\% | 2 | 1 |
| 151 feather | 5036 | 21626 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 88\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2 | 1 |
| 152 fence | 3634 | 17349 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 3 |
| 153 finger | 2296 | 5370 | 98\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 98\% | 80\% | 0\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2 | 1 |
| 154 fire | 9845 | 52543 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 89\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 9\% | 2 | 1 |
| 155 fireman | 5361 | 26161 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 94\% | 98\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3 | 3 |
| 156 firetruck | 7926 | 41094 | 96\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 65\% | 62\% | 29\% | 24\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 14\% | 3 | 6 |
| 157 fish | 3521 | 12019 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |
| 158 fishingpole | 2534 | 5685 | 94\% | 92\% | 0\% | 4\% | 53\% | 76\% | 32\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 15\% | 4\% | 2 | 3 |
| 159 flag | 2993 | 9461 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 160 flashlight | 3639 | 15410 | 98\% | 94\% | 2\% | 2\% | 98\% | 40\% | 2\% | 55\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2 | 3 |
| 161 wine | 5760 | 24975 | 86\% | 94\% | 12\% | 0\% | 58\% | 28\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 15\% | 37\% | 57\% | 1 | 3 |
| 162 floor | 4509 | 20982 | 96\% | 88\% | 4\% | 6\% | 52\% | 34\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 48\% | 66\% | 1 | 2 |
| 163 flower | 3828 | 15082 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 164 flute | 2642 | 7456 | 98\% | 78\% | 2\% | 14\% | 86\% | 51\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14\% | 49\% | 1 | 3 |


| Pic num | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid name \% |  | No name \% |  | Lex 1\% |  | Lex 2\% |  | Lex 3\% |  | Lex 4\% |  | Syllables |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 165 fly | 3375 | 11935 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 88\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 10\% | 1 | 1 |
| 166 foot | 2684 | 7638 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 64\% | 2\% | 36\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 167 football | 3381 | 12165 | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 2\% | 100\% | 48\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 50\% | 2 | 4 |
| 168 fork | 2745 | 8818 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 169 fountain | 6613 | 32442 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 86\% | 100\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 170 fox | 3994 | 16437 | 98\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 86\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 171 frog | 3283 | 14773 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 172 funnel | 2641 | 6468 | 78\% | 98\% | 22\% | 2\% | 97\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 173 trash | 8237 | 48626 | 98\% | 92\% | 0\% | 2\% | 43\% | 57\% | 0\% | 20\% | 49\% | 0\% | 8\% | 24\% | 1 | 2 |
| 174 gas | 2798 | 8961 | 96\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 40\% | 68\% | 38\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 19\% | 26\% | 1 | 3 |
| 175 fence | 3443 | 13819 | 96\% | 92\% | 4\% | 2\% | 60\% | 37\% | 0\% | 22\% | 0\% | 17\% | 40\% | 24\% | 1 | 2 |
| 176 genie | 4251 | 18559 | 88\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 52\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 40\% | 2\% | 6\% | 2 | 2 |
| 177 ghost | 5097 | 23538 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 178 giraffe | 4967 | 18422 | 98\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 179 girl | 4217 | 15540 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92\% | 84\% | 6\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 180 glass | 3628 | 14175 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 71\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 29\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 181 glasses | 3440 | 11525 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 100\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 182 globe | 5018 | 24454 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 183 glove | 3167 | 11509 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 184 goat | 3951 | 15302 | 98\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 185 gorilla | 4274 | 17084 | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 2\% | 70\% | 72\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 30\% | 28\% | 3 | 3 |
| 186 grapes | 4768 | 23841 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 90\% | 10\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 187 grasshopper | 3405 | 13119 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 38\% | 3 | 2 |
| 188 guitar | 3580 | 12032 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 189 gun | 3081 | 10904 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 190 hair | 8390 | 41463 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 191 brush | 4184 | 16664 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 84\% | 38\% | 12\% | 34\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 28\% | 1 | 3 |
| 192 hamburger | 4939 | 26501 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 77\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 23\% | 8\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 193 hammer | 2889 | 9533 | 96\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 88\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2 | 3 |
| 194 hammock | 2993 | 10853 | 90\% | 74\% | 8\% | 22\% | 91\% | 38\% | 0\% | 54\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 8\% | 2 | 3 |
| 195 hand | 3502 | 13345 | 94\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 86\% | 0\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 196 handcuffs | 5276 | 21347 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 88\% | 98\% | 6\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 197 hanger | 2334 | 7003 | 98\% | 90\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 47\% | 10\% | 2\% | 0\% | 49\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |


| Pic US dominant num response | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid \% | name <br> \% | No nam | \% | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Syll | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 198 harp | 4060 | 14170 | 92\% | 90\% | 6\% | 4\% | 96\% | 91\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 9\% | 1 | 2 |
| 199 hat | 2561 | 8732 | 94\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 200 hay | 5480 | 23594 | 98\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 80\% | 38\% | 16\% | 50\% | 2\% | 10\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1 | 4 |
| 201 heart | 2693 | 7316 | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 202 heel | 3708 | 14448 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 88\% | 49\% | 8\% | 51\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 1 | 4 |
| 203 helicopter | 3740 | 18241 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4 | 4 |
| 204 helmet | 3952 | 15650 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 69\% | 4\% | 29\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 4 |
| 205 highchair | 4715 | 19638 | 94\% | 96\% | 4\% | 0\% | 87\% | 63\% | 2\% | 38\% | 9\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2 | 4 |
| 206 hinge | 2720 | 6973 | 82\% | 52\% | 12\% | 38\% | 88\% | 31\% | 2\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 65\% | 1 | 1 |
| 207 hippo | 3546 | 12429 | 94\% | 90\% | 4\% | 2\% | 55\% | 100\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 15\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 208 hoe | 2406 | 6124 | 94\% | 76\% | 6\% | 18\% | 77\% | 74\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 23\% | 24\% | 1 | 2 |
| 209 hoof | 3623 | 13837 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92\% | 94\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 210 hook | 3206 | 10144 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 65\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 33\% | 1 | 2 |
| 211 horse | 4549 | 18397 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |
| 212 hose | 4391 | 26130 | 98\% | 86\% | 2\% | 2\% | 96\% | 53\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% | 35\% | 0\% | 9\% | 1 | 1 |
| 213 house | 3582 | 18069 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |
| 214 firehydrant | 5236 | 25793 | 96\% | 78\% | 2\% | 18\% | 71\% | 54\% | 23\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 38\% | 4 | 2 |
| 215 icecreamcone | 2937 | 7742 | 96\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 52\% | 60\% | 48\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 216 igloo | 2889 | 9673 | 98\% | 94\% | 2\% | 4\% | 100\% | 23\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 43\% | 0\% | 32\% | 2 | 2 |
| 217 iron | 3651 | 16843 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 218 ironingboard | 3174 | 12848 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 83\% | 8\% | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4 | 5 |
| 219 jack | 3361 | 11170 | 82\% | 88\% | 14\% | 8\% | 85\% | 59\% | 7\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 16\% | 1 | 3 |
| 220 jacket | 6274 | 30351 | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 92\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 27\% | 2\% | 10\% | 2 | 2 |
| 221 jar | 2681 | 7664 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 90\% | 54\% | 0\% | 44\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 2\% | 1 | 5 |
| 222 puzzle | 7375 | 46171 | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 65\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 223 jumprope | 3540 | 11207 | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 67\% | 16\% | 31\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 4 |
| 224 kangaroo | 3655 | 14555 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 225 key | 2698 | 7493 | 88\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 226 king | 6312 | 31165 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 227 kite | 4220 | 17880 | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 53\% | 0\% | 36\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 1 | 2 |
| 228 knife | 2865 | 8773 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |
| 229 knight | 4034 | 15019 | 86\% | 96\% | 10\% | 0\% | 88\% | 81\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 12\% | 17\% | 1 | 2 |
| 230 knot | 3087 | 12224 | 94\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 62\% | 64\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 38\% | 34\% | 1 | 2 |


| Pic num | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid name \% |  | No name \% |  | Lex 1\% |  | Lex 2\% |  | Lex 3\% |  | Lex 4\% |  | Syllables |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 231 ladder | 4998 | 25701 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 232 ladle | 2547 | 6129 | 94\% | 92\% | $2 \%$ | 2\% | 55\% | 59\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 45\% | 39\% | 2 | 4 |
| 233 ladybug | 3247 | 10682 | 96\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 67\% | 38\% | 0\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 46\% | 3 | 3 |
| 234 lamp | 3286 | 13522 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92\% | 81\% | 0\% | 19\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 235 lawnmower | 4616 | 18238 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 80\% | 2\% | 20\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 236 leaf | 5349 | 26600 | 96\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 87\% | 0\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 237 leg | 2699 | 6995 | 94\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 79\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 21\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 238 lemon | 2747 | 8524 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 239 leopard | 5236 | 23203 | 92\% | 92\% | 4\% | 0\% | 54\% | 54\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 46\% | 46\% | 2 | 3 |
| 240 letter | 6887 | 40467 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 68\% | 92\% | 12\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 16\% | 8\% | 2 | 2 |
| 241 lettuce | 4177 | 17140 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 57\% | 71\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 43\% | 24\% | 2 | 3 |
| 242 lightbulb | 3219 | 10034 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92\% | 53\% | 8\% | 19\% | 0\% | 28\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 4 |
| 243 lighthouse | 5361 | 31692 | 98\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 94\% | 81\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 19\% | 2 | 6 |
| 244 lightning | 5463 | 30782 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 96\% | 12\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 245 lightswitch | 2837 | 7739 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 64\% | 64\% | 34\% | 36\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 246 lion | 6125 | 32267 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 247 lips | 2144 | 6586 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 90\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 10\% | 1 | 1 |
| 248 lipstick | 2552 | 6029 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 1 |
| 249 lizard | 3457 | 12070 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 88\% | 75\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 12\% | 25\% | 2 | 1 |
| 250 Ilama | 3289 | 10293 | 90\% | 84\% | 8\% | 10\% | 76\% | 76\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 24\% | 24\% | 2 | 2 |
| 251 lobster | 4755 | 20034 | 98\% | 92\% | 2\% | 2\% | 84\% | 87\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 13\% | 2 | 1 |
| 252 lock | 3038 | 9706 | 98\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 253 log | 3574 | 13517 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 74\% | 26\% | 0\% | 34\% | 0\% | 4\% | 26\% | 36\% | 1 | 2 |
| 254 magnet | 5287 | 23234 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 255 mailbox | 4480 | 19211 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 65\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 2\% | 2 | 4 |
| 256 man | 4378 | 15791 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 63\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 4\% | 27\% | 1 | 2 |
| 257 map | 7127 | 41029 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 258 mask | 3681 | 13646 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 259 match | 3574 | 13078 | 96\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 260 medal | 4411 | 21541 | 94\% | 92\% | 2\% | 0\% | 89\% | 50\% | 9\% | 2\% | 0\% | 30\% | 2\% | 17\% | 2 | 2 |
| 261 microphone | 3294 | 9962 | 80\% | 82\% | 20\% | 16\% | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 10\% | 3 | 3 |
| 262 microscope | 4170 | 20349 | 90\% | 92\% | 8\% | 8\% | 84\% | 93\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 7\% | 3 | 3 |
| 263 mirror | 3525 | 11938 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | $2 \%$ | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |


| Pic num | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid name \% |  | No name \% |  | Lex 1\% |  | Lex 2\% |  | Lex 3\% |  | Lex 4\% |  | Syllables |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 264 mixer | 4101 | 18578 | 92\% | 86\% | 4\% | 6\% | 39\% | 30\% | 0\% | 7\% | 24\% | 23\% | 37\% | 40\% | 2 | 3 |
| 265 priest | 3319 | 10111 | 92\% | 92\% | 6\% | 0\% | 43\% | 52\% | 0\% | 2\% | 43\% | 43\% | 13\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |
| 266 monkey | 4579 | 18988 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 267 moon | 2053 | 3730 | 94\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 268 moose | 4966 | 23330 | 92\% | 94\% | 4\% | 2\% | 76\% | 55\% | 0\% | 45\% | 0\% | 0\% | 24\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 269 mop | 3574 | 14393 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 32\% | 0\% | 43\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 26\% | 1 | 3 |
| 270 mosquito | 4212 | 20758 | 92\% | 98\% | 6\% | 2\% | 54\% | 57\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 46\% | 41\% | 3 | 2 |
| 271 motorcycle | 4766 | 24207 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 66\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 32\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4 | 2 |
| 272 mountain | 3580 | 13588 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 94\% | 52\% | 0\% | 44\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 4\% | 2 | 2 |
| 273 mouse | 3603 | 13250 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92\% | 88\% | 2\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 274 mousetrap | 4129 | 18345 | 98\% | 96\% | 2\% | 2\% | 65\% | 77\% | 35\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 13\% | 2 | 4 |
| 275 mushroom | 2795 | 8337 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 276 music | 2007 | 5175 | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 50\% | 54\% | 13\% | 0\% | 35\% | 44\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 277 nail | 2981 | 9585 | 98\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 78\% | 0\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 278 neck | 2468 | 5700 | 98\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 67\% | 64\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 34\% | 1 | 1 |
| 279 necklace | 2931 | 8347 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 82\% | 45\% | 2\% | 37\% | 0\% | 12\% | 16\% | 6\% | 2 | 2 |
| 280 needle | 3041 | 8377 | 94\% | 88\% | 4\% | 0\% | 91\% | 93\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 5\% | 2 | 1 |
| 281 nest | 3222 | 12296 | 96\% | 88\% | 0\% | 0\% | 73\% | 70\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 25\% | 30\% | 1 | 2 |
| 282 net | 3351 | 9970 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 90\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 6\% | 1 | 2 |
| 283 nose | 2235 | 4703 | 96\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 284 nurse | 4728 | 19385 | 98\% | 92\% | 0\% | 2\% | 96\% | 52\% | 0\% | 41\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 7\% | 1 | 2 |
| 285 nut | 2586 | 7235 | 94\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 49\% | 43\% | 0\% | 45\% | 0\% | 13\% | 51\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 286 octopus | 6556 | 33010 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 2 |
| 287 onion | 3427 | 11645 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 4\% | 2 | 2 |
| 288 orange | 2889 | 10314 | 98\% | 86\% | 2\% | 6\% | 96\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 33\% | 2 | 2 |
| 289 ostrich | 3566 | 13009 | 90\% | 92\% | 10\% | 2\% | 80\% | 93\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 7\% | 2 | 1 |
| 290 owl | 3890 | 15316 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 291 package | 5559 | 29767 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4\% | 6\% | 2 | 2 |
| 292 bucket | 3704 | 14552 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 66\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 34\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 293 paintbrush | 2567 | 7932 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 78\% | 96\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2 | 2 |
| 294 paint | 2865 | 11757 | 88\% | 94\% | 8\% | 2\% | 57\% | 38\% | 11\% | 15\% | 0\% | 4\% | 32\% | 43\% | 1 | 3 |
| 295 palmtree | 4937 | 18577 | 98\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 86\% | 68\% | 14\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 3 |
| 296 pan | 2694 | 9738 | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% | 2\% | 84\% | 80\% | 10\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 16\% | 1 | 3 |


| Pic num | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid name \% |  | No name \% |  | Lex 1\% |  | Lex 2\% |  | Lex 3\% |  | Lex 4\% |  | Syllables |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 297 panda | 6857 | 29117 | 94\% | 96\% | 4\% | 2\% | 38\% | 31\% | 28\% | 21\% | 0\% | 0\% | 34\% | 48\% | 2 | 2 |
| 298 pants | 4507 | 16138 | 96\% | 98\% | 4\% | 0\% | 90\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 10\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 299 paper | 5488 | 33840 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 6\% | 84\% | 23\% | 14\% | 23\% | 0\% | 38\% | 2\% | 15\% | 2 | 2 |
| 300 paperclip | 4199 | 21555 | 86\% | 84\% | 10\% | 4\% | 81\% | 69\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 14\% | 29\% | 3 | 3 |
| 301 parachute | 6018 | 25199 | 86\% | 80\% | 10\% | 4\% | 60\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% | 38\% | 3 | 4 |
| 302 parrot | 4793 | 18115 | 96\% | 100\% | 4\% | 0\% | 79\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 21\% | 6\% | 2 | 3 |
| 303 paw | 5183 | 21167 | 92\% | 92\% | 2\% | 0\% | 67\% | 76\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 24\% | 1 | 1 |
| 304 peach | 2658 | 6893 | 88\% | 92\% | 2\% | 4\% | 75\% | 74\% | 0\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% | 25\% | 15\% | 1 | 2 |
| 305 peacock | 12792 | 62243 | 90\% | 92\% | 8\% | 0\% | 89\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 306 peanut | 2962 | 10266 | 90\% | 90\% | 0\% | 2\% | 100\% | 73\% | 0\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 2 | 3 |
| 307 pear | 4535 | 18960 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 308 peas | 5080 | 24609 | 94\% | 94\% | 4\% | 2\% | 57\% | 81\% | 38\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 15\% | 1 | 2 |
| 309 pelican | 3488 | 13369 | 86\% | 94\% | 10\% | 2\% | 79\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 21\% | 6\% | 3 | 3 |
| 310 pen | 2998 | 9078 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |
| 311 pencil | 2727 | 7899 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 312 pencilsharpener | 3694 | 19617 | 62\% | 88\% | 34\% | 0\% | 84\% | 52\% | 10\% | 34\% | 0\% | 14\% | 6\% | 0\% | 5 | 3 |
| 313 penguin | 4762 | 20074 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 314 piano | 4465 | 19570 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 315 picture | 4129 | 16812 | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 83\% | 96\% | 2\% | 2\% | 13\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2 | 1 |
| 316 pig | 3095 | 10411 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 37\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 317 bird | 3374 | 11709 | 98\% | 88\% | 0\% | 2\% | 37\% | 55\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 63\% | 43\% | 1 | 2 |
| 318 piggybank | 4797 | 24489 | 98\% | 86\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 86\% | 6\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 2 |
| 319 pillow | 3438 | 16592 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 100\% | 83\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2 | 2 |
| 320 pineapple | 5046 | 20721 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3 | 3 |
| 321 pinecone | 3185 | 10484 | 80\% | 92\% | 16\% | 4\% | 73\% | 87\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 28\% | 7\% | 2 | 2 |
| 322 pipe | 2401 | 7235 | 94\% | 100\% | 4\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 323 pirate | 6887 | 37716 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 88\% | 85\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 0\% | 10\% | 11\% | 2 | 2 |
| 324 pitcher | 2934 | 8789 | 90\% | 98\% | 8\% | 0\% | 58\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 42\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 325 pitchfork | 2318 | 6158 | 96\% | 94\% | 4\% | 6\% | 65\% | 51\% | 0\% | 40\% | 2\% | 0\% | 33\% | 9\% | 2 | 3 |
| 326 pizza | 6326 | 40526 | 100\% | 86\% | 0\% | 12\% | 100\% | 84\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 2 | 2 |
| 327 plate | 4513 | 21533 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 82\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 18\% | 1 | 2 |
| 328 pliers | 3077 | 9876 | 94\% | 84\% | 6\% | 6\% | 60\% | 57\% | 0\% | 36\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% | 7\% | 2 | 2 |
| 329 plug | 3085 | 11385 | 96\% | 74\% | 2\% | 16\% | 96\% | 38\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 57\% | 0\% | 5\% | 1 | 3 |


| Pic US dominant <br> num response | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid \% | name \% | No nam | \% | Lex |  |  |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Syll |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | U |
| 330 policeman | 5075 | 21428 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 4\% | 54\% | 68\% | 26\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 16\% | 32\% | 3 | 2 |
| 331 pool | 5152 | 28244 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 73\% | 65\% | 27\% | 29\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 1 | 3 |
| 332 popcorn | 5200 | 26185 | 98\% | 92\% | $2 \%$ | 2\% | 100\% | 48\% | 0\% | 7\% | 0\% | 41\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2 | 8 |
| 333 popsicle | 3308 | 9409 | 86\% | 22\% | 14\% | 74\% | 74\% | 18\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 23\% | 73\% | 3 | 2 |
| 334 porcupine | 4607 | 20053 | 94\% | 70\% | 6\% | 20\% | 98\% | 23\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 71\% | 3 | 1 |
| 335 pot | 2256 | 5266 | 80\% | 96\% | 16\% | 0\% | 73\% | 60\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 25\% | 28\% | 15\% | 1 | 2 |
| 336 potato | 2534 | 6576 | 90\% | 84\% | 10\% | 12\% | 93\% | 74\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | 19\% | 3 | 2 |
| 337 present | 3666 | 11938 | 96\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 67\% | 36\% | 2\% | 6\% | 13\% | 0\% | 19\% | 58\% | 2 | 2 |
| 338 priest | 4133 | 15587 | 98\% | 92\% | 0\% | 4\% | 92\% | 91\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 1 | 1 |
| 339 pumpkin | 4678 | 18960 | 98\% | 94\% | 2\% | 2\% | 100\% | 89\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 2 | 1 |
| 340 purse | 4877 | 21948 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 92\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 341 pyramid | 4291 | 19838 | 96\% | 98\% | 4\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 342 queen | 3417 | 11277 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 3 |
| 343 rabbit | 3231 | 11295 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 74\% | 0\% | 26\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 1 |
| 344 raccoon | 3881 | 16186 | 90\% | 66\% | 4\% | 14\% | 84\% | 24\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 73\% | 2 | 4 |
| 345 radio | 3607 | 19880 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 86\% | 81\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 12\% | 19\% | 3 | 3 |
| 346 radish | 3544 | 11066 | 72\% | 70\% | 12\% | 20\% | 58\% | 66\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 42\% | 34\% | 2 | 2 |
| 347 rain | 4111 | 20795 | 92\% | 98\% | 4\% | 0\% | 87\% | 88\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 12\% | 1 | 2 |
| 348 rainbow | 7364 | 32529 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 349 rake | 2216 | 5156 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 92\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1 | 3 |
| 350 razor | 3408 | 14404 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2 | 3 |
| 351 recordplayer | 3875 | 18552 | 96\% | 98\% | 4\% | 0\% | 83\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 13\% | 4\% | 4 | 4 |
| 352 refrigerator | 2830 | 7828 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 88\% | 69\% | 12\% | 23\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5 | 4 |
| 353 rhinoceros | 4274 | 18320 | 96\% | 92\% | 2\% | 0\% | 77\% | 96\% | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 8\% | 2\% | 4 | 3 |
| 354 gun | 2727 | 9010 | 98\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 71\% | 86\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 29\% | 12\% | 1 | 2 |
| 355 ring | 2772 | 7652 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 356 road | 4948 | 26797 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92\% | 72\% | 0\% | 28\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 357 robot | 3029 | 9502 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 358 rock | 3946 | 16005 | 98\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 98\% | 78\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 14\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1 | 1 |
| 359 rocket | 4823 | 18164 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 84\% | 8\% | 2\% | 2\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 360 rockingchair | 4162 | 17826 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 66\% | 90\% | 34\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 361 rollerskate | 4282 | 16620 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 51\% | 71\% | 49\% | 15\% | 0\% | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 4 |
| 362 rollingpin | 2741 | 8674 | 94\% | 84\% | 6\% | 10\% | 74\% | 55\% | 17\% | 21\% | 0\% | 2\% | 9\% | 21\% | 3 | 3 |


| Pic num | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid name \% |  | No name \% |  | Lex 1\% |  | Lex 2\% |  | Lex 3\% |  | Lex 4\% |  | Syllables |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | U |
| 363 roof | 3222 | 13178 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 94\% | 63\% | 2\% | 37\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 1 | 3 |
| 364 rooster | 4147 | 17393 | 98\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 55\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 45\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 365 rope | 6081 | 34568 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 366 rose | 5388 | 25742 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 76\% | 88\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 24\% | 12\% | 1 | 2 |
| 367 rug | 3334 | 13474 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 68\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 2\% | 22\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 368 ruler | 3096 | 10785 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 8\% | 2 | 3 |
| 369 saddle | 3303 | 10307 | 98\% | 96\% | 2\% | 2\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 370 safe | 3308 | 10940 | 92\% | 86\% | 4\% | 8\% | 80\% | 42\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% | 17\% | 19\% | 1 | 4 |
| 371 safetypin | 3683 | 13291 | 90\% | 94\% | 4\% | 4\% | 53\% | 49\% | 27\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 45\% | 3 | 5 |
| 372 sailboat | 3884 | 19076 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 79\% | 45\% | 17\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 35\% | 2 | 3 |
| 373 sailor | 3710 | 12192 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 90\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 10\% | 4\% | 2 | 2 |
| 374 salt | 2998 | 8601 | 96\% | 98\% | 4\% | 0\% | 75\% | 67\% | 19\% | 31\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2\% | 1 | 3 |
| 375 sandwich | 3350 | 13607 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2 | 2 |
| 376 saw | 3046 | 11302 | 98\% | 98\% | 2\% | 2\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 377 saxophone | 3091 | 8795 | 94\% | 90\% | 4\% | 6\% | 81\% | 87\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 15\% | 13\% | 3 | 3 |
| 378 scale | 3993 | 14308 | 90\% | 96\% | 10\% | 2\% | 56\% | 100\% | 13\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 22\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 379 scarf | 5480 | 24187 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1 | 1 |
| 380 scissors | 3474 | 13042 | 94\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 381 scorpion | 3427 | 13037 | 96\% | 94\% | 4\% | 0\% | 90\% | 87\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 13\% | 2 | 3 |
| 382 screw | 2793 | 8170 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 88\% | 91\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 12\% | 6\% | 1 | 2 |
| 383 screwdriver | 2870 | 9051 | 96\% | 96\% | 2\% | 2\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 4 |
| 384 seahorse | 3128 | 9744 | 82\% | 86\% | 12\% | 4\% | 88\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 12\% | 23\% | 2 | 3 |
| 385 seal | 3365 | 12172 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 82\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 18\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 386 seesaw | 4062 | 18444 | 96\% | 96\% | 4\% | 0\% | 75\% | 46\% | 0\% | 0\% | 23\% | 35\% | 2\% | 19\% | 2 | 4 |
| 387 sewingmachine | 5631 | 29901 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4 | 3 |
| 388 shark | 3735 | 14311 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 88\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 12\% | 1 | 2 |
| 389 sheep | 3527 | 12385 | 88\% | 92\% | 2\% | 6\% | 64\% | 48\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 39\% | 36\% | 13\% | 1 | 2 |
| 390 shell | 4165 | 18590 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 92\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 8\% | 1 | 2 |
| 391 boat | 5770 | 33033 | 98\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 53\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 47\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 392 shirt | 5488 | 23660 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 76\% | 56\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 22\% | 44\% | 1 | 1 |
| 393 shoe | 3483 | 14105 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 394 shoulder | 2526 | 6274 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 76\% | 90\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 24\% | 10\% | 2 | 1 |
| 395 shovel | 3312 | 11955 | 98\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 85\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 15\% | 2 | 2 |


| Pic US dominant num response | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid | name \% | No na | \% | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Syll |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 396 shower | 5368 | 20173 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 62\% | 16\% | 23\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 13\% | 2 | 2 |
| 397 sink | 4495 | 26560 | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 90\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 10\% | 1 | 4 |
| 398 skateboard | 3174 | 14225 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 3 |
| 399 skeleton | 3624 | 10724 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 2 |
| 400 skirt | 2752 | 7277 | 94\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 77\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 23\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 401 skis | 4000 | 20764 | 82\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 95\% | 71\% | 2\% | 29\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 402 skunk | 3998 | 16683 | 100\% | 88\% | 0\% | 4\% | 98\% | 57\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 39\% | 1 | 1 |
| 403 sled | 3360 | 16722 | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% | 4\% | 96\% | 93\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 404 slide | 5095 | 20613 | 96\% | 94\% | 2\% | 2\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 405 slingshot | 5457 | 25531 | 90\% | 96\% | 6\% | 0\% | 82\% | 90\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 7\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 406 slipper | 3247 | 11221 | 96\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 63\% | 46\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 38\% | 33\% | 16\% | 2 | 2 |
| 407 smoke | 2963 | 10642 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 84\% | 69\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 22\% | 1 | 1 |
| 408 snail | 3572 | 16426 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 409 snake | 5082 | 23761 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 410 snowman | 3003 | 9725 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 411 sock | 2964 | 8316 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 412 couch | 3473 | 15863 | 100\% | 88\% | 0\% | 4\% | 74\% | 43\% | 0\% | 0\% | 24\% | 34\% | 2\% | 23\% | 1 | 3 |
| 413 soldier | 3177 | 9301 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 69\% | 86\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 29\% | 14\% | 2 | 3 |
| 414 spaghetti | 6663 | 32766 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 94\% | 74\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 12\% | 6\% | 14\% | 3 | 3 |
| 415 spatula | 2575 | 7762 | 84\% | 86\% | 14\% | 10\% | 86\% | 88\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14\% | 7\% | 3 | 2 |
| 416 spider | 6961 | 37059 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 1 |
| 417 thread | 3214 | 13706 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 4\% | 65\% | 64\% | 6\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 29\% | 30\% | 1 | 2 |
| 418 spoon | 2599 | 7344 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 419 squirrel | 4714 | 21975 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 88\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 420 stairs | 5083 | 27602 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 74\% | 92\% | 26\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 1 | 2 |
| 421 statue | 2804 | 7359 | 98\% | 90\% | 2\% | 0\% | 92\% | 82\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 13\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2 | 2 |
| 422 steeringwheel | 4627 | 21824 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 64\% | 60\% | 36\% | 30\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 3 | 2 |
| 423 stethoscope | 3876 | 13841 | 92\% | 86\% | 6\% | 10\% | 93\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 14\% | 7\% | 23\% | 3 | 3 |
| 424 stocking | 4056 | 16152 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 43\% | 96\% | 6\% | 4\% | 45\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 425 stool | 3071 | 10988 | 96\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 83\% | 70\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% | 21\% | 1 | 1 |
| 426 stove | 4959 | 29248 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 72\% | 51\% | 0\% | 45\% | 26\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 427 strawberry | 3686 | 16771 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 2 |
| 428 stroller | 5138 | 22353 | 88\% | 96\% | 10\% | 2\% | 84\% | 83\% | 5\% | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 2\% | 2 | 4 |


| $\begin{array}{cr} \text { Pic } & \text { US domin } \\ \text { num } & \text { respons } \end{array}$ | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid name \% |  | No name \% |  | Lex 1\% |  | Lex 2\% |  | Lex 3\% |  | Lex 4\% |  | Syllables |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 429 submarine | 2619 | 12481 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 88\% | 88\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 12\% | 12\% | 3 | 6 |
| 430 suitcase | 3619 | 13318 | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 79\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 17\% | 38\% | 2 | 2 |
| 431 sun | 3837 | 18102 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 432 swan | 3195 | 12465 | 94\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 74\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 26\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 433 sweater | 3388 | 11622 | 94\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 55\% | 86\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 2\% | 45\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 434 swing | 5324 | 21224 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 73\% | 100\% | 27\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 435 sword | 2988 | 10243 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 92\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 436 needle | 3087 | 10658 | 96\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 63\% | 81\% | 2\% | 0\% | 27\% | 6\% | 8\% | 13\% | 2 | 5 |
| 437 table | 3120 | 12010 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 438 tail | 5317 | 20747 | 96\% | 88\% | 2\% | 2\% | 77\% | 64\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 36\% | 1 | 3 |
| 439 tank | 3158 | 11180 | 84\% | 94\% | 12\% | 2\% | 90\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 440 taperecorder | 6373 | 35631 | 96\% | 96\% | 4\% | 0\% | 75\% | 79\% | 15\% | 13\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% | 4 | 2 |
| 441 teapot | 4115 | 17625 | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% | 2\% | 44\% | 36\% | 34\% | 58\% | 8\% | 0\% | 14\% | 7\% | 2 | 4 |
| 442 tear | 2926 | 8908 | 96\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 50\% | 51\% | 8\% | 21\% | 0\% | 0\% | 42\% | 28\% | 1 | 1 |
| 443 teepee | 4036 | 15294 | 94\% | 92\% | 2\% | 2\% | 70\% | 80\% | 0\% | 15\% | 2\% | 2\% | 28\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 444 teeth | 2864 | 8898 | 96\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 79\% | 88\% | 0\% | 8\% | 21\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 445 telephone | 4396 | 19758 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 72\% | 100\% | 28\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 446 telescope | 5106 | 21547 | 98\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 98\% | 82\% | 0\% | 10\% | 0\% | 8\% | 2\% | 0\% | 3 | 2 |
| 447 tv | 4056 | 18950 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 61\% | 74\% | 0\% | 0\% | 39\% | 26\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 448 tennisracket | 3334 | 12242 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 56\% | 91\% | 42\% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4 | 4 |
| 449 tent | 4030 | 16963 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 450 thermos | 2468 | 5251 | 92\% | 88\% | 4\% | 6\% | 87\% | 95\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 5\% | 2 | 2 |
| 451 thimble | 3185 | 9987 | 98\% | 94\% | 2\% | 0\% | 90\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 6\% | 2 | 2 |
| 452 thumb | 2642 | 6695 | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 58\% | 4\% | 38\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 1 | 3 |
| 453 tie | 5182 | 19103 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 100\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 3 |
| 454 tiger | 7996 | 45476 | 94\% | 98\% | 4\% | 2\% | 91\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 8\% | 2 | 2 |
| 455 tire | 4297 | 14920 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 65\% | 0\% | 16\% | 10\% | 12\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2 | 2 |
| 456 toaster | 3214 | 13290 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 58\% | 2\% | 35\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 6\% | 2 | 5 |
| 457 toe | 3879 | 15263 | 88\% | 88\% | 10\% | 6\% | 52\% | 48\% | 39\% | 41\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 11\% | 1 | 2 |
| 458 toilet | 4195 | 22049 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 459 tomato | 2907 | 8388 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 6\% | 3 | 4 |
| 460 grave | 4445 | 21614 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 62\% | 77\% | 0\% | 19\% | 12\% | 0\% | 26\% | 4\% | 1 | 1 |
| 461 toothbrush | 2773 | 8597 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |


| Pic US dominant num response | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC JPG | Valid \% | name \% | No nam | \% | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Syll |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 462 top | 3149 | 10581 | 84\% | 82\% | 16\% | 12\% | 86\% | 63\% | 7\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 34\% | 1 | 4 |
| 463 towel | 4415 | 24097 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 80\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 4\% | 2 | 4 |
| 464 railroadtracks | 6497 | 40664 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 28\% | 77\% | 68\% | 15\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 6\% | 3 | 1 |
| 465 tractor | 2823 | 9518 | 92\% | 94\% | 4\% | 2\% | 87\% | 79\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 13\% | 21\% | 2 | 2 |
| 466 stoplight | 4085 | 17265 | 100\% | 86\% | 0\% | 2\% | 62\% | 51\% | 32\% | 44\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 467 train | 3973 | 18361 | 96\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 468 trashcan | 3572 | 13895 | 98\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 69\% | 44\% | 27\% | 16\% | 2\% | 30\% | 2\% | 10\% | 2 | 2 |
| 469 tree | 5172 | 26074 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 470 tripod | 4050 | 13049 | 78\% | 86\% | 18\% | 8\% | 79\% | 65\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 21\% | 23\% | 2 | 2 |
| 471 trophy | 4182 | 19720 | 88\% | 96\% | 10\% | 2\% | 50\% | 48\% | 2\% | 0\% | 2\% | 42\% | 45\% | 10\% | 2 | 2 |
| 472 truck | 2751 | 10639 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 96\% | 58\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1 | 4 |
| 473 trumpet | 3607 | 13615 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 69\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 31\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 474 chest | 4451 | 20690 | 92\% | 96\% | 2\% | 2\% | 63\% | 79\% | 0\% | 4\% | 26\% | 0\% | 11\% | 17\% | 1 | 2 |
| 475 turkey | 4251 | 15338 | 96\% | 86\% | 2\% | 4\% | 96\% | 70\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 30\% | 2 | 2 |
| 476 turtle | 3592 | 14768 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 64\% | 0\% | 36\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 477 tweezers | 2675 | 7308 | 90\% | 86\% | 4\% | 12\% | 91\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 478 typewriter | 4944 | 28850 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 479 umbrella | 3974 | 15140 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3 | 3 |
| 480 unicorn | 3695 | 12749 | 100\% | 84\% | 0\% | 8\% | 100\% | 62\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 19\% | 0\% | 17\% | 3 | 3 |
| 481 unicycle | 5145 | 20238 | 96\% | 80\% | 4\% | 12\% | 81\% | 33\% | 0\% | 35\% | 0\% | 8\% | 19\% | 25\% | 4 | 3 |
| 482 vacuum | 6455 | 34257 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 82\% | 100\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 483 vase | 4676 | 20221 | 96\% | 98\% | 4\% | 0\% | 94\% | 82\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 14\% | 1 | 2 |
| 484 vest | 3214 | 10103 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 485 violin | 2963 | 8571 | 100\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 82\% | 93\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 18\% | 7\% | 3 | 3 |
| 486 volcano | 9818 | 54995 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 82\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 16\% | 0\% | 2\% | 3 | 2 |
| 487 waffle | 3082 | 11129 | 74\% | 52\% | 22\% | 40\% | 46\% | 35\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 46\% | 65\% | 2 | 1 |
| 488 wagon | 4321 | 20209 | 82\% | 84\% | 14\% | 10\% | 76\% | 24\% | 0\% | 17\% | 5\% | 0\% | 20\% | 60\% | 2 | 3 |
| 489 waiter | 5683 | 27418 | 96\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 85\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 13\% | 4\% | 2 | 2 |
| 490 bricks | 2520 | 11402 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 38\% | 53\% | 24\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 38\% | 24\% | 1 | 1 |
| 491 wallet | 2884 | 10594 | 88\% | 92\% | 10\% | 8\% | 77\% | 76\% | 0\% | 11\% | 2\% | 2\% | 20\% | 11\% | 2 | 3 |
| 492 walnut | 5689 | 30661 | 94\% | 98\% | 6\% | 0\% | 62\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 38\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 493 walrus | 3186 | 11083 | 96\% | 84\% | 2\% | 0\% | 83\% | 79\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 17\% | 21\% | 2 | 2 |
| 494 closet | 5983 | 30610 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 86\% | 79\% | 0\% | 21\% | 2\% | 0\% | 12\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |


| Pic US dominant num response | ObjVC PDF | ObjVC <br> JPG | Valid \% | name | No na | \% | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Lex |  | Syll | es |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU | US | HU |
| 495 washingmachine | 5234 | 29160 | 98\% | 84\% | 2\% | 10\% | 73\% | 79\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 21\% | 4 | 3 |
| 496 watch | 3532 | 14511 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 67\% | 0\% | $33 \%$ | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 497 wateringcan | 3515 | 12701 | 70\% | 96\% | 26\% | 0\% | 31\% | 33\% | 29\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 40\% | 4\% | 4 | 5 |
| 498 watermelon | 2746 | 9982 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 98\% | 85\% | 2\% | 15\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4 | 2 |
| 499 spiderweb | 4016 | 14705 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 68\% | 94\% | $32 \%$ | $2 \%$ | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 3 | 3 |
| 500 well | 3497 | 12965 | 96\% | 96\% | 4\% | 0\% | 96\% | 92\% | 2\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1 | 1 |
| 501 whale | 3271 | 15429 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 2\% | 96\% | 79\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 21\% | 1 | 2 |
| 502 wheat | 6307 | 28962 | 72\% | 100\% | 16\% | 0\% | 58\% | 66\% | 0\% | 8\% | 0\% | 4\% | 42\% | 22\% | 1 | 2 |
| 503 wheel | 4794 | 22753 | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1 | 2 |
| 504 wheelbarrow | 4462 | 20045 | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 86\% | 94\% | 6\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 8\% | 2\% | 3 | 3 |
| 505 wheelchair | 6585 | 33755 | 98\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 100\% | 46\% | 0\% | 46\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2 | 4 |
| 506 whip | 3138 | 10916 | 90\% | 98\% | 10\% | 0\% | 87\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 13\% | 4\% | 1 | 2 |
| 507 whistle | 3025 | 10521 | 98\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 1 |
| 508 wig | 5437 | 22371 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 2\% | 94\% | 92\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 8\% | 1 | 3 |
| 509 windmill | 3819 | 12430 | 90\% | 94\% | 6\% | 2\% | 93\% | 68\% | 2\% | 32\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 2 | 3 |
| 510 window | 5086 | 26944 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2 | 2 |
| 511 glass | 2649 | 7194 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 96\% | 29\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1 | 2 |
| 512 wing | 5858 | 27747 | 96\% | 96\% | 2\% | 0\% | 94\% | 96\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 0\% | 1 | 1 |
| 513 witch | 5306 | 27723 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | 96\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 1 | 3 |
| 514 wolf | 4004 | 15672 | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 56\% | 63\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 44\% | 37\% | 1 | 2 |
| 515 woman | 4058 | 14462 | 98\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 69\% | 76\% | 0\% | 2\% | 18\% | 4\% | 12\% | 18\% | 2 | 1 |
| 516 worm | 4773 | 20764 | 98\% | 92\% | 0\% | 4\% | 96\% | 74\% | 2\% | 13\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 13\% | 1 | 3 |
| 517 wrench | 2654 | 7594 | 88\% | 76\% | 12\% | 10\% | 95\% | 37\% | 2\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 53\% | 1 | 3 |
| 518 yoyo | 2681 | 8066 | 98\% | 84\% | 2\% | 8\% | 96\% | 62\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% | 36\% | 2 | 2 |
| 519 zebra | 7356 | 36034 | 98\% | 98\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2 | 2 |
| 520 zipper | 2410 | 5830 | 96\% | 96\% | 2\% | 2\% | 100\% | 94\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 2 | 2 |

